ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY OF WILMINGTON AND NEW HANOVER COUNTY WATERSHEDS, 2024

by

Michael A. Mallin, Matthew R. McIver and Lawrence B. Cahoon

CMS Report 25-01 Center for Marine Science University of North Carolina Wilmington Wilmington, N.C. 28409 www.uncw.edu/cms/aelab/

May 2025

http://www.uncw.edu/cms/aelab/



Funded by: The City of Wilmington and the North Carolina Attorney General's Office Environmental Enhancement Program, Grant UNCW021PRE.

Executive Summary

This report represents results of Year 27 of the Wilmington Watersheds Project. Water quality data are collected by researchers from the University of North Carolina Wilmington's Center for Marine Sciences and are presented from a watershed perspective, regardless of political boundaries. The 2024 program involved 6 watersheds and 20 sampling stations. In this summary we first present brief water quality overviews for each watershed from data collected between January and December 2024. As part of a change in priorities, sampling at Howe, Motts and Whiskey Creek were suspended for the time being to emphasize upper Bradley Creek and the Greenfield Lake watershed, both of which are undergoing restoration measures; also two sites in Barnards Creek upstream in Carriage Hills are currently being sampled. Note that several months in summer and early fall were not sampled due to late receipt of funds from the City. From funding sourced by the City of Wilmington partial dredging of nutrient polluted sediments (30%) in Squash Branch was achieved in 2024.

<u>Barnards Creek</u> – Barnards Creek drains into the Cape Fear River Estuary. It drains a 4,173 acre watershed that consists of 22.3% impervious surface coverage, and a human population of approximately 12,200. In 2024 five samples were collected at two upper creek sites near Carriage Hills close to a wet detention pond (CHP-U and CHP-D). Turbidity was low, dissolved oxygen was fair, but both stations suffered from high fecal coliform counts. One major algal bloom occurred at CHP-D.

<u>Bradley Creek</u> – Bradley Creek drains a watershed of 4,583 acres, including much of the UNCW campus, into the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (AICW – Plate 1). The watershed contains about 27.8% impervious surface coverage, with a population of about 16,470. The uppermost site, BC-RD, is on upper Clear Run at Racine Dr., and subsequently drains downstream to BC-CA, Clear Run at College Acres. Below that is a new station, BC-MS, located at Mallard St. downstream of where Clear Run passes through the forest behind UNCW. The two lower sites currently sampled are BC-NB, Bradley Creek north branch at Wrightsville Ave., and BC-SB, Bradley Creek south branch at Wrightsville Ave. All five sites were sampled five times in 2024. Note that extensive stream rehabilitation work between College Acres and Mallard has wrapped up, while other rehabilitation work is ongoing at the headwaters of Clear Run above Station BC-RD.

High turbidity and suspended solids in 2024 were not problematic. Dissolved oxygen was likewise in Good to Fair condition at all sites. Nutrients were elevated in upper Clear Run compared with the other sites on Wrightsville Avenue. Two large algal blooms occurred in upper Clear Run in October. Fecal coliform bacteria counts were moderate at BC-NB but excessive at BC-RD, BC-CA and BC-MS, which had geometric mean counts exceeding the NC standard for safe waters of 200 CFU/100 mL.

<u>Burnt Mill Creek</u> – Burnt Mill Creek drains a 4,207 acre watershed with a population of about 23,700. Its watershed is extensively urbanized (39.8% impervious surface coverage) and drains into Smith Creek. Two locations were sampled during 2024, on

five occasions at both BMC-AP3 and BMC-PP. Fecal coliform conditions were rated Poor at the lowermost station BMC-PP at Princess Place and Good at the upper site BMC-AP3 below Anne McCrary Pond, the regional wet detention pond on Randall Parkway. Dissolved oxygen concentrations were Good at AP-3 and Fair at BMC-PP.

An algal bloom occurred at AP3 and two blooms occurred at BMC-PP in 2024. Several water quality parameters showed an increase in pollutant levels along the creek from the outfall from the detention pond to the downstream Princess Place sampling station, including fecal coliform bacteria, nitrogen, and phosphorus, indicating non-point pollution sources continue to pollute the lower creek.

<u>Futch Creek</u> – Futch Creek is situated on the New Hanover-Pender County line and drains a 3,813 acre watershed (12.3% impervious coverage) into the ICW. UNC Wilmington was not funded to sample this creek in 2024. New Hanover County employed a consulting firm to sample this creek and data may be requested from the County.

<u>Greenfield Lake</u> – This lake drains a watershed of 2,465 acres, covered by about 37% impervious surface area with a population of about 10,630. In the past this urban lake has suffered from low dissolved oxygen, algal blooms, periodic fish kills and high fecal bacteria counts. The lake was sampled at four tributary stream sites and three in-lake sites on 9 occasions. Of the tributaries of Greenfield Lake, Squash Branch (GL-SQB, near Lake Branch Drive), Squash Branch at the footbridge over the lower channel near the main lake (SQB-BR), Jumping Run Branch at Lakeshore Dr (GL-JRB) and GL-SQB suffered from major low dissolved oxygen problems, GL-JRB had minor DO problems, and GL-2340 in the main lake had major low DO issues.

Algal blooms are chronically problematic in Greenfield Lake and have occurred during all seasons. In 2024 massive summer-fall blue-green algal blooms (cyanobacteria) continued to occur. Previously published studies found a statistically significant relationship within the lake between chlorophyll *a* and five-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5) meaning that the algal blooms are an important cause of low dissolved oxygen, and high BOD occurred congruent with the blooms in 2024. Coupled with the blooms, an illegal discharge from an upstream source (with hypoxic water and very high fecal bacteria counts, > 30,000 CFU/100 mL) entered the lake at GL-2340 in late May concurrent with a massive fish kill. In 2024 all three upper tributary stations exceeded the fecal coliform State standard on >55% of occasions sampled and rated Poor; the in-lake stations were in Good condition for fecal bacteria except for GL-2340, rated Poor.

Greenfield Lake is currently on the NC 303(d) list for impaired waters due to excessive algal blooms. The thesis work of former UNCW graduate student Nick Iraola assessed the five main inflowing tributaries to the lake to demonstrate that the largest inorganic nutrient loads came in from Jumping Run Branch and Squash Branch.

In spring-summer 2024 the City of Wilmington funded a partial (30%) dredging of Squash Branch to remove phosphorus-laden sediments from the channel that enters Greenfield Lake. UNCW performed enhanced water and sediment sampling to assess effects. There were temporary localized increases in turbidity and TSS, but the effects on chlorophyll *a* (algal blooms) were indistinguishable from normal. A pulse of water column P occurred during the early dredging period, possibly a result of dredging or P release from decomposing algal blooms. Fecal coliform bacteria counts did not increase downstream as a result of dredging. There were large decreases in sediment P in and outside of Squash Branch following dredging. However, a tropical storm dumped large amounts of rainfall on the area during the same period, so sediment flushing by the storm was also a likely flushing factor.

<u>Hewletts Creek</u> – Hewletts Creek drains a large (7,478 acre) watershed into the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway. This watershed has about 25.1% impervious surface coverage with a population of about 20,210. In 2024 the creek was sampled at three tidal sites on five occasions.

Low dissolved oxygen was not a problem in Hewletts Creek in 2024. Turbidity was low and did not exceed the state standard, and no major algal blooms occurred. Fecal coliform bacteria counts were within standard at SB-PGR but excessive at MB-PGR and NB-GLR, where the standard was exceeded 3/5 sampling times each.

<u>Howe Creek</u> – Howe Creek drains a 3,516 acre watershed into the ICW. This watershed hosts a population of approximately 6,460 with about 21.4% impervious surface coverage. Due to resource re-allocation, sampling was suspended here in 2020 but is scheduled to start again in mid-2025.

<u>Motts Creek</u> – Motts Creek drains a watershed of 3,342 acres into the Cape Fear River Estuary with a population of about 9,530; impervious surface coverage 23.4%. Due to Covid-19 and resource re-allocation, sampling was suspended here in 2020.

<u>Pages Creek</u> – Pages Creek drains a 5,025 acre watershed with 17.8% impervious surface coverage into the ICW. UNC Wilmington was not funded to sample this creek from 2008-2024. New Hanover County employed a private firm to sample this creek and data may be requested from the County.

<u>Smith Creek</u> – Smith Creek drains into the lower Northeast Cape Fear River just upstream of where it merges with the Cape Fear River (Plate 1). It has a watershed of 16,650 acres that has about 21.3% impervious surface coverage, with a population of about 31,780. One estuarine site on Smith Creek, SC-CH, is normally sampled by UNCW under the auspices of the Lower Cape Fear River Program (LCFRP). In 2024, 12 samples were collected and DO, turbidity, chlorophyll *a* and fecal coliform bacteria were all rated Good by UNCW.

<u>Whiskey Creek</u> – Whiskey Creek is the southernmost large tidal creek in New Hanover County that drains into the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (ICW, Plate 1). It has a watershed of 2,078 acres, a population of about 8,000, and is covered by approximately 25.1% impervious surface area. Due to resource re-allocation, sampling was suspended here for 2024.

<u>Water Quality Station Ratings</u> – The UNC Wilmington Aquatic Ecology Laboratory utilizes a quantitative system with four parameters (dissolved oxygen, chlorophyll *a*,

turbidity, and fecal coliform bacteria) to rate water quality at our sampling sites. If a site exceeds the North Carolina water quality standard (see Appendix A) for a parameter less than 10% of the time sampled, it is rated Good; if it exceeds the standard 10-25% of the time it is rated Fair, and if it exceeds the standard > 25% of the time it is rated Poor for that parameter. We applied these numerical standards to the water bodies described in this report, based on 2024 data, and have designated each station as Good, Fair, and Poor accordingly (Appendix B).

Fecal coliform bacterial conditions for the entire Wilmington City and New Hanover County Watersheds system (20 sites sampled) showed 35% to be in Good condition, 0% in Fair condition and 65% in Poor condition. Dissolved oxygen conditions (measured at the surface) system-wide (20 sites) showed 60% of the sites were in Good condition, 25% were in Fair condition, and 15% were in Poor condition. For algal bloom presence, measured as chlorophyll *a*, 65% of the 20 stations sampled were rated as Good, 15% as Fair and 20% as Poor. For turbidity, 100% of sites were Good. It is important to note that the water bodies with the worst water quality in the system also have the most developed watersheds with the highest impervious surface coverage; Burnt Mill Creek – 39% impervious coverage; Greenfield Lake – 37% impervious coverage; Bradley Creek – 28% impervious coverage.

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	8
1.1	Methods	8
2.0	Barnards Creek	10
3.0	Bradley Creek	13
4.0	Burnt Mill Creek	16
5.0	Futch Creek	22
6.0	Greenfield Lake	24
7.0	Hewletts Creek	40
8.0	Howe Creek	43
9.0	Motts Creek	45
10.0	Pages Creek	47
11.0	Smith Creek	49
12.0	Whiskey Creek	52
13.0	Report References Cited	54
14.0	Acknowledgments	57
15.0	Appendix A: Selected N.C. water quality standards	58
16.0	Appendix B: UNCW Watershed Station Water Quality Ratings	59
17.0	Appendix C: GPS coordinates for the New Hanover County Tidal	
	Creek and Wilmington Watersheds Program sampling stations	60
18.0	Appendix D. Sampling station subwatershed drainage area and	
	percent impervious surface coverage, 2015	62
19.0	Appendix E. University of North Carolina at Wilmington reports and	papers
	concerning water quality in Wilmington and New Hanover	
	County's freshwater and tidal creeks.	64



Plate 1. Wilmington and New Hanover County watersheds 2014 map by Wilmington Stormwater Services. Station coordinates are in Appendix C.

7

1.0 Introduction

In 1993 scientists from the Aquatic Ecology Laboratory at the UNC Wilmington Center for Marine Science Research began studying five tidal creeks in New Hanover County. This project, funded by New Hanover County, the Northeast New Hanover Conservancy, and UNCW, yielded a comprehensive report detailing important findings from 1993-1997, and produced a set of management recommendations for improving creek water quality (Mallin et al. 1998). Data from that report were later published in the peer-reviewed literature (Mallin et al. 2000) and were used in 2006-2009 by the N.C. General Assembly (Senate Bill 1967) as the scientific basis to redefine low density coastal areas as 12% impervious surface coverage instead of the previously used 25% impervious cover. In 1999-2000 Whiskey Creek was added to the program.

In October 1997 the Center for Marine Science began a project (funded by the City of Wilmington Engineering Department) with the goal of assessing water quality in Wilmington City watersheds. Also, certain sites were analyzed for sediment heavy metals concentrations (EPA Priority Pollutants). In the past 27 years we produced several combined Tidal Creeks – Wilmington City Watersheds reports (see Appendix E). In fall 2007 New Hanover County decided to stop funding UNCW sampling on the tidal creeks and UNCW has subsequently produced many reports largely focused on City watersheds (see Appendix E). In 2020 sampling at lower Barnards and Motts Creeks, Howe Creek and Whiskey Creek were suspended to emphasize upper Bradley Creek and the Greenfield Lake watershed, both of which are scheduled for restoration measures; in fall 2021 sampling at two locations in upper Barnard's Creek near Carriage Hills wet detention pond was initiated.

Water quality parameters analyzed in the watersheds include water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, salinity/conductivity, turbidity, total suspended solids (TSS), nitrate, ammonium, total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), total nitrogen (TN), orthophosphate, total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll *a* and fecal coliform bacteria. Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5) is measured at selected sites in Greenfield Lake. From 2010-2013 a suite of metals, PAHs and PCBs were assessed in the sediments of the creeks and Greenfield Lake. The 2014 report presented summary material regarding that study.

From 2010-2014 Wilmington Stormwater Services collaborated with UNCW to investigate potential sewage spills and leaks and illicit sanitary connections potentially polluting city waterways; the results of those sample collections have been provided in various reports. Currently, the City is emphasizing lake and stream restoration.

1.1 Water Quality Methods

Samples were collected on 5 to 9 occasions at 20 locations within the Wilmington City watersheds between January and December 2024. Field parameters were measured at each site using a YSI EXO 3 or Pro DSS Multiparameter Water Quality sonde linked to a YSI EXO or Pro DSS display unit. Individual probes within the instrument measured water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, salinity, and conductivity. The YSI was calibrated prior to each sampling trip to ensure accurate measurements. The UNCW Aquatic Ecology Laboratory is State-Certified for field measurements

(temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen and pH). Samples were collected on-site for State-certified laboratory analysis of ammonium, nitrate+nitrite (referred to within as nitrate), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), orthophosphate, total phosphorus, total suspended solids (TSS), fecal coliform bacteria, and chlorophyll *a*.

The analytical method used by the UNCW Aquatic Ecology Laboratory to measure chlorophyll *a* is based on Welschmeyer (1994) and Method 445.0 from US EPA (1997). All filters were wrapped individually in aluminum foil, placed in an airtight container and stored in a freezer. During the analytical process, the glass filters were separately immersed in 10 ml of a 90% acetone solution and allowed to extract the chlorophyll from the material for three to 24 hours; filters were ground using a Teflon grinder prior to extraction. The solution containing the extracted chlorophyll was then analyzed for chlorophyll *a* concentration using a Turner AU-10 fluorometer. This method uses an optimal combination of excitation and emission bandwidths that reduces errors in the acidification technique. UNCW Aquatic Ecology Laboratory is State-Certified for laboratory chlorophyll *a* measurements.

Nutrients (nitrate, ammonium, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, orthophosphate, total phosphorus) and total suspended solids (TSS) were analyzed by a state-certified laboratory using EPA and APHA techniques. We also computed inorganic nitrogen to phosphorus molar ratios for relevant sites (N/P). Fecal coliform concentrations were determined using a membrane filtration (mFC) method (APHA 1995). For a large wet detention pond (Ann McCrary Pond on Burnt Mill Creek) we collected data from input and outfall stations.

As noted, the City funded a partial dredging operation to remove phosphorus polluted sediments from Squash Branch between April and August (about 30%) of the branch's sediments were dredged. As such, UNCW performed enhanced sampling of the sediments in the branch, the removed sediments, and the water during and after dredging, reported within.

2.0 Barnards Creek

Snapshot

Watershed area: 4,161 acres (1,690 ha) Impervious surface coverage: 22.3% Watershed population: Approximately 12,200 Overall water quality: Algal blooms occurred, and minor fecal coliform problems

Lower Barnard's Creek drains single family and multifamily housing upstream of Carolina Beach Rd. in the St. Andrews Dr. area and along Independence Boulevard near the Cape Fear River. Another major housing development (River Lights) is well populated and under continuing construction between Barnards and Motts Creeks. This site was not sampled for several years due to lack of funding. However, renewed funding allowed UNCW to re-initiate sampling of Barnards Creek at River Road (BNC-RR) in 2018-2019. In 2020 sampling of this creek was suspended due to Covid-19 and resource re-allotment. In October 2021 the City commenced funding UNCW to sample two locations on upper Barnards Creek adjacent to Carriage Hills wet detention pond, CHP-U and CHP-D. these sites were picked to assess any adverse impacts to the creek from the pond.

The 2024 data (Table 2.1) show no dissolved oxygen issues, and low turbidity and low total suspended solids (TSS). Nutrients were generally low; there was one minor algal bloom at CHP-D, and both sites exceeded the state fecal coliform standard sufficient to be rated Poor, with geometric means at CHP-U and CHP-D at 216 and 254 CFU/100 mL, respectively.

Parameter	CHP-U	CHP-D
Salinity	0.1 (0)	0.1 (0)
(ppt)	0.1-0.1	0.1- 0.1
Turbidity	2 (1)	2 (2)
(NTU)	1-4	1-6
TSS	2 (2)	3 (1)
(mg/L)	1-5	1-4
DO	7.3 (1.0)	7.3 (0.8)
(mg/L)	5.9-8.2	6.0-8.2
Nitrate	0.06 (0.04)	0.05 (0.04)
(mg/L)	0.01-0.10	0.01-0.10
Ammonium	0.08 (0.08)	0.11 (0.059
(mg/L)	0.01-0.22	0.03-0.20
TN	0.69 (0.52)	0.40 (0.10)
(mg/L)	0.35-1.62	0.23-0.51
Orthophosphate	0.02 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)
(mg/L)	0.01-0.03	0.010-0.03
TP	0.39 (0.53)	0.28 (0.38)
(mg/L)	0.01-1.18	0.01-0.82
N/P	20	31
inorganic	20	16
Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	9 (10)	15 (21)
(μg/L)	1-26	1-51
Fecal col.	216	254
(CFU/100 mL)	77-410	100-500

Table 2.1. Selected water quality parameters at sites upstream (CHP-U) and downstream (CHP-D) of Carriage Hills wet pond in upper Barnards Creek, 2024 as mean (standard deviation) / range, inorganic N/P ratios as mean / median, fecal coliform bacteria presented as geometric mean / range, n = 5 samples collected.



Figure 2.1 Barnards Creek watershed

3.0 Bradley Creek

Snapshot

Watershed area: 4,583 acres (1,856 ha) Impervious surface coverage: 27.8% (2014 data) Watershed population: Approximately 16,470 Overall water quality: fair-poor Problematic pollutants: high fecal bacteria, occasional low dissolved oxygen

The Bradley Creek watershed was previously a principal location for Clean Water Trust Fund mitigation activities, including the purchase and renovation of Airlie Gardens by New Hanover County. There has been massive redevelopment of the former Duck Haven property bordering Eastwood Road and development across Eastwood Road; which drains to the creek. This creek has been one of the most polluted in New Hanover County, particularly by fecal coliform bacteria (Mallin et al. 2000) and has suffered from sewage leaks (Tavares et al. 2008) and stormwater runoff. Three upstream stations (BC-SB, BC-NB and BC-CA) have been sampled in previous years, both fresh and brackish (Fig. 3.1), and another site, BC-RD on Racine Drive was added in July 2021. Stream restoration activities are ongoing for this upper branch (also called Clear Run, see cover photo) both at its headwaters and from College Acres through the forest bordering the stream behind UNCW. and sampling at BC-CA was suspended in 2023 due to restoration related construction at the site, and re-established in 2024, slightly downstream from College Acres. The drainage area for BC-RD is approximately 90% impervious surface coverage. A new station, BC-MS, was established at the bridge on Mallard St. downstream of where Clear Run passes through the restored area and the forest behind UNCW. Thus, there were five samples collected at all sites in 2024.

Turbidity was not a problem during 2024; the standard of 25 NTU was not exceeded (Table 3.1). There are no NC ambient standards for total suspended solids (TSS), but UNCW considers 25 mg/L high for the Coastal Plain. As such, TSS did not exceed 21 mg/L at any of our sites in 2024. Dissolved oxygen went below standard (< 5.0 mg/L) only on one occasion at BC-CA and BC-RD during our 2024 collections.

Nitrate concentrations were low to moderate at all sites, and highest in the Clear Run Branch. Ammonium was elevated above 0.40 μ g-N/L on several occasions at BC-NB, was periodically elevated in upper Clear Run, with a peak of 1.91 mg/L at BC-RD in December (Table 3.1). Total nitrogen (TN) was also highest in upper Clear Run branch. Orthophosphate concentrations were low in general at all the sites, but total phosphorus (TP) was periodically elevated above 0.50 mg/L at all sites in 2024. Two large algal blooms occurred at BC-RD and BC-CA in 2024, 56 and 147 μ g/L chlorophyll *a* in October. Median nitrogen to phosphorus ratios at most sites except BC-SB were elevated above 21, indicating potential P limitation of phytoplankton.

Fecal coliform bacteria counts were low at BC-NB, but high at the other sites, especially BC-RD and BC-CA, with geometric means of 992 and 432 CFU/100 mL, and a maximum of 2,100 CFU/100 mL compared with the NC standard of 200 CFU/100 mL for freshwater safety. Note that upper Clear Run receives considerable drainage from across College Road (Fig. 3.1) where there are large parking lots and high (>90%)

impervious surface coverage. There is also a considerable amount of dog feces lying on the ground near BC-RD between the nearest apartment parking lot and the creek.

Station	BC-RD	BC-CA	BC-MS	BC-NB	BC-SB
Salinity	0.1 (0.1)	0.1 (0.1)	0.1 (0.0)	24.3 (8.8)	15.1 (13.3)
(ppt)	0.1-0.1	0.1-0.2	0.1-0.1	13.5-33.1	1.8-32.4
DO	5.8 (1.2)	6.4 (1.9)	7.9 (0.3)	7.4 (2.1)	8.0 (1.1)
(mg/L)	4.3-7.2	3.4-8.1	7.3-8.2	5.0-9.8	6.8-9.6
Turbidity	5 (5)	2 (1)	2 (2)	4 (2)	5 (3)
(NTU)	1-14	0-4	0-6	2-6	2-9
TSS	4 (3)	2 (1)	4 (6)	14 (5)	12 (3)
(mg/L)	1-10	1-4	1-14	10-21	9-15
Nitrate	0.14 (0.12)	0.18 (0.14)	0.19 (0.09)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)
(mg/L)	0.06-0.34	0.05-0.39	0.06-0.26	0.01-0.01	0.01-0.01
Ammonium	0.56 (0.75)	0.25 (0.06)	0.02 (0.02)	0.44 (0.28)	0.20 (0.31)
(mg/L)	0.16-1.91	0.14-0.30	0.01-0.05	0.12-0.72	0.01-0.71
TN	1.15 (0.68)	0.86 (0.16)	0.60 (0.23)	0.54 (0.25)	0.52 (0.16)
(mg/L)	0.76-2.01	0.63-0.99	0.41-0.94	0.28-0.95	0.35-0.74
Orthophosphate	0.04 (0.01)	0.04 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)
(mg/L)	0.01-0.05	0.03-0.06	0.01-0.02	0.01-0.02	0.01-0.03
TP	0.29 (0.38)	0.35 (0.39)	0.33 (0.48)	0.29 (0.42)	0.30 (0.38)
(mg/L)	0.04-0.28	0.04-0.89	0.01-1.11	0.01-1.02	0.01-0.87
N/P	35	28	37	79	35
	29	22	29	89	4
Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	13 (24)	32 (64)	6 (5)	6 (5)	16 (15)
(µg/L)	1-56	2-147	2-14	1-12	2-38
Fecal coliforms	992	432	212	41	140
(CFU/100 mL)	410-2,100	200-1,090	86-410	5-109	37-591

Table 3.1. Water quality parameter concentrations at Bradley Creek sampling stations, 2024. Data as mean (SD) / range, N/P ratio as mean/median, fecal coliform bacteria as geometric mean / range, n = 5 samples collected.



Figure 3.1. Bradley Creek watershed and sampling sites.

4.0 Burnt Mill Creek

Snapshot

Watershed area: 4,207 acres (1,703 ha) Impervious surface coverage: 39.3% Watershed population: Approximately 23,700 Overall water quality: poor, on NC 303(d) list for impaired benthic community Problematic pollutants: Fecal bacteria, periodic algal blooms, some low dissolved oxygen issues, contaminated sediments (PAHs, Hg, Pb, Zn, TN, and TP), water hyacinth overgrowths in 2021

Burnt Mill Creek is an urban creek flowing entirely through the City of Wilmington. Its high impervious surface coverage (about 39%) puts it at risk for excessive pollutant loads. A prominent feature in the Burnt Mill Creek watershed (Fig. 4.1) is the Ann McCrary Pond on Randall Parkway, which is a large (28.8 acres) regional wet detention pond draining 1,785 acres, with a large apartment complex (Mill Creek Apts.) at the upper end. The pond itself has periodically hosted growths of submersed aquatic vegetation, with Hydrilla verticillata, Egeria densa, Alternanthera philoxeroides, Ceratophyllum demersum and Valliseneria americana having been common at times (some of these taxa are invasive). There have been efforts to control this growth, including addition of triploid grass carp as grazers. The ability of this detention pond to reduce suspended sediments and fecal coliform bacteria, and its failure to reduce nutrient concentrations, was detailed in a scientific journal article (Mallin et al. 2002). Numerous waterfowl utilize this pond as well. Burnt Mill Creek has been studied by a number of researchers, and water quality results of these continuing studies have been published in technical reports and scientific journals (Perrin et al. 2008; Mallin et al. 2009a; 2009b; 2010; 2011). This creek is currently on the NC 303(d) list for impaired waters, for an impaired benthic community. Sediment toxicant analysis (summarized in Mallin et al. 2015) found elevated concentrations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), mercury, lead and zinc at several locations in this creek. We note that in 2021 there was a large nuisance growth of water hyacinth Eichhornia crassipes that completely blocked the creek, this growth was subsequently removed by Wilmington Stormwater Services.

<u>Sampling Sites</u>: During 2024 samples were collected on five occasions from BMC-PP and BMC-AP3 sampling at BMC-AP1 site ended as City priorities changed in summer 2023) (Fig. 4.1). The latter site is located in the upper creek just upstream of Ann McCrary Pond (Fig. 4.1) and BMC-AP3 is located about 40 m downstream of the pond. Several km downstream of Ann McCrary Pond is Station BMC-PP, located at the Princess Place bridge over the creek, respectively (Fig. 4.1). This is a main stem station in what is considered to be the mid-to-lower portion of Burnt Mill Creek, in a mixed residential and retail area.



Figure 4.1. Burnt Mill Creek watershed and water quality sampling sites.

The Upper Creek

About one km downstream from Kerr Avenue along Randall Parkway is the large regional wet detention pond known as Ann McCrary Pond. Data were collected at the outflow (BMC-AP3) station on five occasions in 2024. Dissolved oxygen concentrations were within standard on all sampling occasions at BMC-AP3. The NC standard for turbidity in freshwater streams is 50 NTU; there were no exceedences of this value during our 2024 sampling, and total suspended solids concentrations were low to moderate on most sampling occasions in 2024 (Table 4.1). Fecal coliform concentrations at BMC-AP3 were generally low (Table 4.1). In 2024 there was one major algal bloom of 69 μ g/L chlorophyll *a* at Station BMC-AP3.

Lower Burnt Mill Creek

The Princess Place location (BMC-PP) was the only lower creek station sampled in 2024. One parameter that is key to aquatic life health is dissolved oxygen. Dissolved oxygen at BMC-PP was below standard (< 5.0 mg/L) on only one sampling occasion. Turbidity concentrations at BMC-PP did not exceed the State standard on any of our sampling occasions and total suspended solids (TSS) were low.

The North Carolina water quality standard for chlorophyll *a* is 40 μ g/L. In 2024 there were excessive blooms of 155 and 87 μ g/L at BMC-PP. Algal blooms can cause disruptions in the food web, depending upon the species present (Burkholder 2001), and decomposing blooms can contribute to low dissolved oxygen (Mallin et al. 2006).

In waters where the inorganic N/P ratio is well below 16 (the Redfield Ratio for algal nutrient composition; Hecky and Kilham 1988) it is generally considered that algal production is limited by the availability of nitrogen (i.e. phosphorus levels are sufficient); where N/P ratios are well above 16, additions of phosphate should encourage algal blooms. If such values are near the Redfield Ratio, inputs of either N or P could drive an algal bloom. At AP-3, mean and median N/P ratios were below the Redfield Ratio, at 10 and 13, respectively, indicating that the algae and macrophytes in the pond took up more N relative to P. Ratios increased downstream at BMC-PP to 28 and 15, respectively along with increases in nutrients, especially N.

Important from a public health perspective are fecal coliform bacteria counts. AP-3 had a geometric mean below the state standard, but BMC-PP had a geometric mean of 268 CFU/100 mL. That station exceeded the State standard for human contact waters (200 CFU/100 mL) on three of five occasions in our 2024 samples. Fecal coliform counts were greater than the State standard on 60% of sampling occasions at BMC-PP, and on no occasions at AP-3. Whereas geometric mean fecal coliform counts at BMC-AP3 were 25 CFU/100 mL, counts then increased along the passage to the Princess Place location (geometric mean 268 CFU/100 mL; Fig. 4.2), as in previous years. It is likewise notable that nitrate, ammonium, total nitrogen, total phosphorus and orthophosphate concentrations increased from the outflow from Ann McCrary Pond downstream to the lower station BMC-PP (Table 4.1; Fig. 4.3). Clearly, there are inputs of pollutants to this creek as it passes from the large detention pond to its lower reaches.



Figure 4.2. Fecal coliform bacteria geometric means for Burnt Mill Creek, 2024

Figure 4.2 Geometric mean fecal coliform bacteria counts in Burnt Mill Creek downstream from AP3 to Princess Place bridge.

To summarize, in some years Burnt Mill Creek has had problems with low dissolved oxygen (hypoxia) at the Princess Place station BMC-PP, but in 2024 most samples were within standard. Two major algal blooms occurred at BMC-PP (69 and 155 µg/L). Median N/P ratios in the lower creek indicate that inputs of nitrogen were likely to stimulate algal bloom formation in 2024, but such ratios have differed in previous years. It is notable that nutrient concentrations increased from the outfall of the regional Ann McCrary wet detention pond as one moves downstream toward the lower creek (Fig. 4.3). An important human health issue is the periodic high fecal bacteria counts found at BMC-PP and occasionally in the upper creek. As NPDES point source discharges are not directed into this creek, the fecal bacteria (and nutrient) loading appears to be caused either by non-point source stormwater runoff, illegal discharges, or leakage from sanitary sewer lines. We note that strong statistical correlations between fecal coliform counts, TSS, BOD and rainfall have been previously demonstrated for this creek (Mallin et al. 2009b), indicating as stormwater runoff pollution problem. As this is one of the most heavily developed creeks in the Wilmington area, it also remains one of the most polluted.

Parameter	BMC-AP3	BMC-PP
DO (mg/L)	11.1 (2.4) 4.9-9.2	6.8 (2.1) 4.9-9.2
Cond. (µS/cm)	239 (38) 186-277	397 (95) 292-545
рН	8.0 (0.4) 7.6-8.5	7.3 (0.1) 7.2-7.5
Turbidity (NTU)	4 (2) 2-6	3 (2) 1-6
TSS (mg/L)	5 (2) 3-7	6 (8) 1-19
Nitrate (mg/L)	0.02 (0.02) 0.01-0.04	0.122 (0.11) 0.01-0.04
Ammonium (mg/L)	0.04 (0.03) 0.010-0.09	0.05 (0.020 0.01-0.09
TN (mg/L)	0.79 (0.23) 0.58-1.13	0.86 (0.32) 0.63-1.43
OrthoPhos. (mg/L)	0.01 (0.01) 0.01-0.03	0.03 (0.02) 0.01-0.07
TP (mg/L)	0.11 (0.15) 0.01-0.37	0.18 (0.21) 0.01-0.53
N/P molar ratio	10 13	28 15
Chlor. <i>a</i> (μg/L)	33 (25) 15-69	55 (65) 5-155
FC (CFU/100 mL)	25 5-82	268 137-1000

Table 4.1. Water quality data in Burnt Mill Creek, 2024, as mean (standard deviation)/range. Fecal coliforms as geometric mean; N/P as mean/median, n = 5 samples collected.



5.0 Futch Creek

Snapshot Watershed area: 3,813 acres (1,544 ha) Impervious surface coverage: 12.3% Watershed population: 4,620

Six stations were sampled by the University of North Carolina Wilmington's Aquatic Ecology Laboratory in Futch Creek from 1993 through 2007. UNCW was not funded by the County to sample Futch Creek following 2007. We present the above information and map below purely for informational purposes. A private firm is sampling Futch Creek for the County and the County should be contacted for information.



Figure 5.1. Futch Creek watershed and sampling sites.

6.0 Greenfield Lake Water Quality

Snapshot

Watershed area: 2,551 acres (1,033 ha) Impervious surface coverage: 37% (2013 data) Watershed population: 10,630

Overall water quality: Poor, on NC 303(d) list for algal blooms

Problematic pollutants: High fecal bacteria, low dissolved oxygen, and nutrient loading in tributaries, high BOD and algal blooms in main lake, sediments contaminated with metals, PAHs and phosphorus

Four stations on tributaries to Greenfield Lake were sampled for a full suite of physical, chemical and biological parameters on 9 occasions in 2024 (Table 6.1, Fig. 6.1). Of those, SQB-BR is located at the walking bridge over Squash Branch, and is generally lake-like rather than stream-like. Some tributary stream sites suffered from low dissolved oxygen (DO), as GL-SQB (Squash Branch, formerly called GL-LB) showed DO concentrations below the state standard (DO < 5.0 mg/L) on 78% of sampling occasions (Table 6.1; Appendix B). Station GL-JRB (Jumping Run Branch) had substandard DO on five sampling occasions, while JRB-17 had two violations. Turbidity concentrations were generally low in the tributary stations, with no violations of the freshwater stream standard of 50 NTU (Table 6.1). Suspended solids were likewise low at the stream stations (Table 6.1).

Nitrate, ammonium and TN concentrations were elevated at GL-SQB with JRB-17 and GL-JRB also elevated (Table 6.1). Highest phosphorus concentrations occurred at GL-JRB and GL-SQB. We note that both JRB-17 and GL-JRB are downstream of a golf course, which covers 22% of the Jumping Run Branch watershed surface area. The chlorophyll *a* concentration was high in November at GL-JRB and JRB-17 with blooms of 30 and 33 μ g/L. However, SQB-BR (near the main lake) had three large blooms ranging from 62-103 μ g/L. The geometric mean fecal coliform bacteria counts for 2024 exceeded the state standard at all three upper tributary stations (Table 6.1), and the fecal coliform standard was exceeded on >55% of sampling dates at all three upper stream stations. Fecal coliform counts at SQB-BR were low, well within standard.

Parameter	JRB-17	GL-JRB	GL-SQB	SQB-BR
DO (mg/L)	6.8 (1.7)	5.2 (1.3)	3.7 (1.9)	8.3 (1.7)
	4.6-10.2	3.5-7.9	0.9-6.5	6.3-10.2
Turbidity (NTU)	3 (1)	2 (1)	2 (1)	3 (4)
	1-5	1-3	1-4	0-8
TSS (mg/L)	6 (5)	3 (1)	6 (5)	3 (2)
	1-15	1-4	3-20	1-5
Nitrate (mg/L)	0.17 (0.05)	0.15 (0.07)	0.23 (0.19)	0.01 (0.01)
	0.09-0.25	0.03-0.24	0.03-0.61	0.01-0.01
Ammon. (mg/L)	0.08 (0.03)	0.04 (0.02)	0.19 (0.18)	0.03 (0.04)
	0.05-0.13	0.01-0.07	0.01-0.65	0.01-0.09
TN (mg/L)	0.94 (0.38)	0.77 (0.21)	0.97 (0.30)	0.85 (0.37)
	0.48-1.82	0.55-1.23	0.64-1.59	0.39-1.26
Ortho-P. (mg/L)	0.02 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	0.04 (0.02)	0.02 (0.01)
	0.01-0.04	0.01-0.05	0.01-0.07	0.01-0.03
TP (mg/L)	0.22 (0.29)	0.18 (0.14)	0.23 (0.32)	0.12 (0.10)
	0.03-0.98	0.07-0.43	0.05-1.07	0.03-0.24
Inorganic N/P ratio	40	31	40	4
	44	28	19	5
Chlor. a (μg/L)	14 (12)	11 (10)	11 (8)	54 (47)
	2-33	1-33	2-24	4-103
FC (CFU/100 mL)	212	229	586	11
	100-546	91-682	180-1,150	3-46

Table 6.1. Mean and (standard deviation) / range of selected field water quality parameters in tributary stations of Greenfield Lake, 2024. Fecal coliforms (FC) given as geometric mean, N/P ratio as mean / median; n = 9 samples collected at most sites, but five times at SQB-BR.

Three in-lake stations were sampled on 9 occasions (Figure 6.1). Station GL-2340 represents an area receiving an influx of urban/suburban runoff (but buffered by wetlands), GL-YD is downstream and receives some outside impacts, and GL-P is at the Greenfield Lake Park boathouse, away from inflowing streams but in a high-use waterfowl area (Fig. 6.1). Low dissolved oxygen (< 5.0 mg/L) did not occur at GL-YD and only once at the Park station GL-P in 2024 (see also Section 6.1). However, DO was substandard on 56% of occasions sampled at GL-2340. Turbidity was at or below

the state standard on all sampling occasions. There was a sharp peak in suspended solids (100 mg/L) on May 13 at GL-2340, concurrent with an influx of hypoxic runoff water from upstream that led to a massive lake fish kill. Five major algal blooms occurred from May-December at GL-P, ranging from 48-165 μ g/L chlorophyll *a*, while two blooms occurred at GL-YD ranging from 173-207 μ g/L. Thus, this lake continues to suffer from large nuisance blooms. In-lake fecal coliform concentrations exceeded the standard eight times at GL-2340, but not at all at GL-P and GL-YD.

Concentrations of all inorganic nutrients in-lake were mixed with highest nitrate at the upstream station GL-2340, but highest TN and TP at the lower stations where the highest algal biomass was (Table 6.2). Inorganic N/P molar ratios can be computed from ammonium, nitrate, and orthophosphate data and can help determine what the potential limiting nutrient can be in a water body. Ratios well below 16 (the Redfield ratio) can indicate potential nitrogen limitation, and ratios well above 16 can indicate potential phosphorus limitation (Hecky and Kilham 1988). Based on the mean and median N/P ratios in the lake (Table 6.2), phytoplankton growth in Greenfield Lake at GL-2340 can likely be limited by P due to the higher N at that upper lake location, but ratios at GL-YD (mixed) and GL-P (low), indicating that algae can be readily stimulated by nitrogen (i.e. inputs of nitrogen can cause algal blooms). Our previous bioassay experiments indicated that nitrogen was usually the stimulatory nutrient in this lake, although P can stimulate blooms at GL-2340 at times (Mallin et al. 1999; 2016).

Phytoplankton blooms are problematic in Greenfield Lake (Table 6.2), and usually consist of green or blue-green algal species, or both together (Grogan et al. 2023). These blooms have occurred during all seasons. As such, two blooms exceeding the North Carolina water quality standard of 40 μ g/L of chlorophyll *a* were sampled at GL-YD, three at SQB-BR and five at GL-P. For the year 2024, chlorophyll *a* exceeded the state standard on 26% of occasions sampled at the three in-lake sites, but also five other times at SQB-BR. The North Carolina Division of Environmental Quality placed this lake on the 303(d) list in 2014 for high chlorophyll, and the chlorophyll a levels in this lake are reflective of eutrophic conditions (Wetzel 2001). Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5) for 2024 was elevated at GL-YD and GL-P in summer-fall (Table 6.1) with a maximum of 10 mg/L in at GL-P in June. Because phytoplankton (floating microalgae) are easily decomposed sources of BOD, the blooms in this lake are a periodic driver of low dissolved oxygen; chlorophyll *a* is strongly correlated with BOD in this lake (Mallin et al. 2016; Iraola et al. 2022).

Parameter	GL-2340	GL-YD	GL-P
DO (mg/L)	5.0 (1.5)	8.1 (1.5)	9.0 (3.5)
	2.6-6.8	5,4-10.5	1.6-11.8
Turbidity (NTU)	1 (1)	1 (1)	3 (3)
	0-1	0-2	0-10
TSS (mg/L)	12 (33)	3 (2)	5 (5)
	1-100	1-6	1-14
Nitrate (mg/L)	0.30 (0.08)	0.08 (0.21)	0.01 (0.00)
	0.18-0.39	0.01-0.64	0.01-0.02
Ammonium (mg/L)	0.11 (0.19)	0.04 (005)	0.01 (0.01)
	0.01-0.60	0.01-0.14	0.01-0.03
TN (mg/L)	1.20 (1.25)	1.37 (1.36)	0.99 (0.78)
	0.56-3.97	0.61-5.78	0.43-2.46
Orthophosphate (mg/L)	0.02 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	0.04 (0.07)
	0.01-0.05	0.01-0.03	0.01023
TP (mg/L)	0.25 (0.22)	0.23 (0.30)	0.25 (0.21)
	0.04-0.62	0.01-0.98	0.03-0.69
N/P molar ratio	58	25	4
	45	4	4
Fec. col. (CFU/100 mL)	248	19	13
	105-637	3-100	3-145
Chlor. <i>a</i> (μg/L)	4 (3)	63 (79)	68 (67)
	1-9	6-207	3-165
BOD5	1.1 (0.3)	2.3 (1.3)	4.7 (2.9)
	2.0-2.0	1.0-5.0	2.0-10.0

Table 6.2. Mean and (standard deviation) / range of selected field water quality parameters in lacustrine stations of Greenfield Lake, 2024. Fecal coliforms (FC) given as geometric mean, N/P ratio as mean / median; n = 10 samples collected.



Figure 6.1. Greenfield Lake watershed.

Figure 6.2. Greenfield Lake feeder stream stations sampled at various times; note that GL-SQB is also known as GL-LB, and GL-CBB is also known as GL-LC.





Chapter 6 – Special Study: Sampling at Squash Branch To Assess Phase 1 Dredging Impacts

Plate 1a. (left) Array of Geotubes holding dredged sediment on shore near Squash Branch, August 2024, Plate 1b (right) Severe drainage from Geotubes passing through sediment fencing toward the lake, caused by heavy rains.

Background and Methods

Greenfield Lake, in Wilmington, North Carolina, is a highly eutrophic system characterized by springtime green algal blooms and summer and fall cyanobacterial (i.e. blue-green algal) blooms (Mallin et al. 2016; Iraola et al. 2022, Grogan et al. 2023). It has been on the North Carolina 303(d) list for impaired waters since 2014. A stormwater investigation (Iraola et al. 2022) determined that the greatest loading of nutrients, nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) entered the lake from Jumping Run Branch and Squash Branch. Sediment samples taken throughout the lake indicated that P was highest in the sediments of these two tributaries compared to elsewhere (Iraola et al. 2022). As such, a City of Wilmington-sponsored dredging program was begun in 2024 to remove P-laden sediments from approximately 30% of Squash Branch from the headwall where the incoming stream enters the branch, toward the main body of the lake. The goal was to reduce sediment P contributions to the overlying water column in hopes of reducing the persistent algal blooms. Dredging began April 29 and ended August 12, 2024. The dredged material was placed into geotubes situated on the shore near Squash Branch for several months until they drained and dried (Plates 1a, 1b). As noted, however, that funding was only available to dredge 30% of the stream channel, thus, success on only part of the proposed 1,200 ft channel can be measured at this point.

Impacts of dredging were followed by water column and sediment sampling by university researchers. The research team, led by Dr. Michael Mallin and Dr. Lawrence Cahoon of UNC Wilmington, assessed the impacts of the spring-summer 2024 dredging of Squash Branch in two media, water column and sediments.

Water Column Sampling Methods

First, impacts on the water column were of critical interest. UNCW conducted enhanced, City-sponsored biweekly water column sampling of multiple parameters starting before dredging began and continued during dredging and for several months (until December 2024) following dredging to determine the impact on the water column quality. Samples have been taken biweekly to monthly just upstream of the operations (at the bridge on Lakeview Dr., Station GL-SQB) to serve as an upstream control before, during and following dredging. To assess the impact in the immediate vicinity, samples were collected just downstream of the dredged area at the walking bridge over Squash Branch, Station SQB-BR. While some samples were collected there, the City maintenance workers blocked off access to the bridge in fall, so the crew could not collect some samples.

To assess the impact of dredging on the lake water quality in general, samples were also taken at the Greenfield Park boathouse dock (GL-P), well downstream of the branch outflow. Samples were taken to assess water column changes in several ways, 1) turbidity and suspended solids caused by the dredging, 2) algal blooms as chlorophyll *a*, potentially a result of released sediment P, 3) water column phosphorus concentrations possibly increased by dredging – Mallin and Cahoon 2020, and 4) fecal coliform bacteria, because fecal coliforms are typically positively correlated with turbidity and suspended solids (Mallin and Cahoon 2020). Success criteria is measured by a lack of increase in water column phosphorus, turbidity, TSS and fecal bacteria in the water column due to dredging, as well as a lack of immediate algal bloom formation. Biweekly sampling ceased in December 2024 but is continuing monthly in 2025. Sampling and laboratory analyses were performed using State-certified methods.

We also noted that there was a fish kill on the lake (Plate 2a), reported May 13, beginning at the opposite side from SQB-BR. This was concurrent with a large influx of turbid, anoxic water from the GL-2340 inflow stream (Plate 2b), which is normally very clean. City staff noted there was groundwater pumping from the site of an abandoned outdoor theatre upstream that then passed though swampland, an area which also houses homeless camps. Also, at this time a large algal bloom began on the lake, and decomposition from it may have caused or contributed to the severe hypoxia and fish kill. The kill spread throughout the lake and researchers reported fish taking refuge up the tributary creeks. As the kill and hypoxia began across the lake, they do not appear to be a direct result of the dredging activity.



Plate 2a (left) Fish kill on Greenfield lake in May 2024. Plate 2b (right) Plume of brown anoxic water (DO=0.25 mg/L) entering the lake concurrent with onset of the fish kill.

Sediment Sampling and Analysis Methods

Since removal of phosphorus-laden sediments was the key goal, a suite of sediment samples in Squash Branch was sampled in April just before dredging began (Plate 3a). A second suite of sediment samples was conducted in early October 2024, after cessation of dredging. The goal was to measure differences in sediment P from before dredging compared to after dredging. Dredging was considered to be a success if there was reduction (> 50%) in channel sediment phosphorus concentrations. Also, samples were collected from the dredged sediments deposited into geotubes on the bank before removal to the landfill (Plate 3b), to assess impacts of dewatering on P concentrations in the dredged material as well as estimate total P in sediments removed.



Plate 3a. (left) Boat-based sediment sampling in Squash Branch, October 2024. Plate 3b (right) Sampling of geotubes on shore for phosphorus.

Specifically, Squash Branch sediments were sampled by boat using a hand-deployed coring device at four locations in April 2024 prior to dredging (Plate 3a). Sites SQB 1-4 were in upstream-downstream order from the Lakeshore Drive bridge to the wooden footbridge, respectively; Site 4 was downstream of the dredged area. Site SQB-M was at the Solar Bee unit off the mouth of Squash Branch, and Site GL-P was located well downstream near the boat docks at the park rental facility. Sediments at these latter two locations in the lake were sampled to facilitate a before-after/control-impact design. Sediment samples were taken in triplicate, stored in labeled containers, dried in the lab at 60 °C for >1 week, then homogenized by grinding with mortar and pestle. Precisely weighed (± 0.0001 g) subsamples were suspended in 10 mL of deionized water (DIW) amended with standard molybdenum-blue reagent (Parsons et al., 1984) and stirred for 24 hours to facilitate extraction of reactive P (RP) and color development. Absorbance vs DIW blanks was measured on a Genesys 20 spectrophotometer at 885 nm and µM RP was calculated using an 'F' value of 51. µM RP was then converted to µg RP/g sediment. Another precisely weighed sediment subsample was then ashed at 450 °C for 24 hrs, then weighed to calculate weight loss on ignition (WLOI), a measure of organic content of the sediment. Another precisely weighed combusted sediment subsample was then extracted, stirred and analyzed as above for total P (TP), also expressed as µq TP/q sediment.

Dredged sediments deposited in geotubes on the east side of Squash Branch were sampled initially in September 2024. The first samples taken from the Geotubes were shallow cores (top ~5 cm) from the surface of the sediment mass. In order to determine

if sediments near the surface of the Geotube material were different from sediments deeper in the interior deeper cores (20-30 cm) were taken a few weeks later. These sediment samples were handled and analyzed as above for RP, TP and WLOI.

Water Column Results and Discussion

The data shown below are from water column samples collected monthly during 2023 and 2024 at GL-SQB and GL-P. Later SQB-BR was added shortly before dredging began and biweekly sampling commenced until December 2024.

Turbidity

Turbidity measures the cloudiness in the water column and its units are Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU). Dredging was expected to temporarily increase turbidity in the area. The State of North Carolina has a turbidity standard for lakes and reservoirs of 25 NTU. The standard was not reached at any of our sample sites between January 2023 and December 2024. The highest levels reached post-dredging was about 10 NTU in early June. Note also that turbidity is also positively correlated with chlorophyll *a* in this lake (Mallin et al. 2016), so increases are not necessarily a result of dredging activities.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

Another potential water column impact from dredging is an increase in total suspended solids (TSS) caused by disruption of the bottom. Figure 1 shows TSS concentrations (as mg/L) before, during and after dredging. North Carolina does not have a legal standard for TSS, but decades of our research has shown that TSS concentrations of 25 mg/L and higher can be considered high on the Coastal Plain. Whereas this concentration was not exceeded during our sampling, a number of peaks in TSS are evident, especially at GL-SQB, upstream of the dredging area, where stormwater runoff is measured. One notable peak nearing 25 mg/L occurred in May 2023 at GL-P, concurrent with an algal bloom. In June-July 2024 there were smaller peaks in TSS at SQB-BR, nearest the dredging area and at the park, GL-P. Those smaller peaks could be caused either by dredging activity or a midsummer algal bloom (Figs. 1,2).



Figure 1. Total suspended solids (TSS) collected at the three sampling sites before, during and after dredging of upper Squash Branch beginning late April 2024.

Chlorophyll a

Chlorophyll a is a measure of algal bloom intensity and has been elevated in this lake for many years, even decades (Mallin et al. 2016; Iraola et al. 2022; Grogan et al. 2023). The NC standard for impaired waters is 40 μ g/L (= ppb), which has been exceeded often in the past and was exceeded in this data set both before, during and after the dredging operations. The peak of about 250 µg/L occurred before dredging in January 2024 upstream of the dredging area at GL-SQB (Fig. 2). A large bloom beginning in May and ongoing through June 2024 at SQB-BR and GL-P, following the onset of dredging in late April and concurrent with the fish kill in mid-May. Researchers from UNCW and Cape Fear River Watch described burning sensations in the eyes and throat, suggesting a toxin was produced by this algal bloom. This bloom was lake wide; note that blooms have commonly occurred in this lake during the period April-September (Mallin et al. 2016; Grogan et al. 2023). The blooms receded in late summer, but then a secondary bloom in the lake occurred in September, well after dredging ceased. Chlorophyll a decreased in October but then rose with a winter lake bloom (Fig. 3), months after cessation of dredging. Thus, blooms have occurred in the lake before, during and after dredging.



Figure 2. Chlorophyll *a* concentrations at the three sampling sites before, during and after dredging of upper Squash Branch beginning late April 2024.

Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus (TP) is a measure of inorganic plus organic phosphorus, and includes the P incorporated within phytoplankton and zooplankton and P associated with TSS. Figure 3 shows TP variability at the three sites over time. TP was highest (> 1.2 mg-P/L) in the lake before dredging occurred in February 2023, and again high at GL-P in June 2023 (pre-dredging), possibly as a release from a phytoplankton bloom in May 2023 (Fig. 2). TP at the park was somewhat elevated in May 2024 and then all three sites were elevated in late July 2024, during dredging. Note that both a lakewide algal bloom and a fish kill occurred during early summer 2024. In fall there was a notable decrease at all three sites, then a pulse in December 2024 at both GL-SQB and GL-P (Fig. 3) concurrent with a winter algal bloom (SQB-BR was not accessible due to bridge maintenance at that time). In sum, elevated TP periodically occurs upstream of the dredged area, downstream and within the dredged area, usually concurrent with algal blooms.



Figure 3. Total phosphorus concentrations at the three sites, before, during and after dredging of Squash Branch beginning late April 2024.

Orthophosphate

Orthophosphate (OP) is the inorganic P available in the water column. It can be sourced from upstream stormwater runoff, or remineralization from the sediments, dead animals or animal manures. The water column OP is low, not exceeding 0.30 mg-P/L at any site. There were several relatively small peaks in OP at GL-SQB and GL-P in 2023, before dredging. A minor peak occurred at the park (GL-P) during the May 13, 2024, sample, which may have been associated with dredging or a result of P remineralization from decomposing algae or fish from the kill occurring then. As noted above, a large algal bloom occurred in the lake in early June, following the OP peak and fish kill. The concentration of orthophosphate at SQB-BR is indistinguishable from that at GL-SQB and GL-P.

Fecal Coliform Bacteria

Fecal coliform bacteria are a measure of the potential presence of pathogenic fecal microbes in the water column – they are an indicator set of microbes. In freshwater, >200 colony-forming units (CFU) per 100 mL water is considered elevated. Table 1 shows summary statistical data for fecal coliform counts at SQB-BR and GL-P for the 2023-24 period; there is clearly no difference between these two in-lake stations, and concentrations are not high. In contrast, the inflowing stream GL-SQB upstream of the dredged area has very high counts from upstream generated stormwater runoff (Table 1), geometric mean 596 CFU/100 mL, median 687 CFU/100 mL, average 989 CFU/100 mL, maximum 6,500 CFU/100 mL. Neither the geometric mean nor median at SQB-BR and GL-P exceeded 14 CFU/100 mL, the average counts were 26 and 24 CFU/100 mL, respectively, and the maximum was only 145 CFU/100 mL. Thus, the dredging activities had no stimulatory impact on fecal coliform counts; they are driven primarily by upstream urban stormwater runoff.

Station	GL-SQB	SQB-BR	GL-P
Geometric mean	596	14	12
Median	687	12	12

Table 1. Fecal coliform bacteria counts, as geometric mean and median, at the three sampling locations 2023-2024.

2024 Conclusions – Water Quality

Results for several water quality parameters were presented above in relation to the 2024 (April 29-August 12) partial dredging of Squash Branch. Turbidity never exceeded the state standard of 25 NTU at any site, including just downstream for the dredge location at SQB-BR. There were brief upticks in total suspended solids at SQB-BR and GL-P after dredging commenced, but these were short lived and not as high as TSS concentrations frequently seen at the upstream control site GL-SQB. A cyanobacterial bloom occurred lake wide in June and July 2024, which is typical for any year. A fish kill began across the lake in May from Squash Branch and spread throughout; there was an influx of anoxic water from a stream at the other side of the lake concurrent with this fish kill, as well as the bloom. A spike in orthophosphate occurred in May, either from dredging or fish and algae decomposition. A peak in total phosphorus (TP) occurred in July 2024 at all three sites; possibly as a result of algal bloom decomposition coupled with fish decomposition. Note that other peaks in TP occurred in 2023 well before any dredging activity, especially in the upstream control location which collects stormwater runoff before it flows downstream. There was no impact of the dredging on downstream fecal coliform counts. Incoming stormwater-driven fecal coliform counts far exceeded any counts within the lower branch or lake. Note, however, observationally there was serious leakage and drainage of mucky material from the Geotubes toward the lake (Plate 1b) following heavy rain events, which needs addressing.

Sediment Study Results

April 2024: Sediment reactive phosphorus (RP) values averaged 2.84 \pm 4.96 µg RP/g sediment and did not differ significantly among sites (by one-way ANOVA for April 2024 samples). Total phosphorus (TP) values averaged over two orders of magnitude higher than reactive P, averaging 462 \pm 210 µg TP/g. To summarize, in some years Burnt Mill Creek has had problems with low dissolved oxygen (hypoxia) at the Princess Place station BMC-PP, but in 2024 most samples were within standard. Two major algal bloom occurred at BMC-PP (69 and 155 µg/L). Median N/P ratios in the lower creek indicate that inputs of nitrogen were likely to stimulate algal bloom formation in 2024, but such ratios have differed in previous years. It is notable that nutrient concentrations increased from the outfall of the regional Ann McCrary wet detention pond as one moves downstream toward the lower creek (Fig. 4.3). An important human health issue is the periodic high fecal bacteria counts found at BMC-PP and occasionally at the upper sites. As NPDES point source discharges are not directed into this creek, the fecal bacteria (and nutrient) loading appears to be caused either by non-point source

stormwater runoff, illegal discharges, or leakage from sanitary sewer lines. We note that strong statistical correlations between fecal coliform counts, TSS, BOD and rainfall have been previously demonstrated for this creek (Mallin et al. 2009b), indicating as stormwater runoff pollution problem. As this is one of the most heavily developed creeks in the Wilmington area, it also remains one of the most polluted. but varied significantly among sites for April 2024 with SQB-4 highest and GL-P lowest. WLOI values did not differ significantly among sites (according to one-way ANOVA). WLOI values indicated *highly organic sediments*, with %WLOI averaging 35.9% and varying from ~11% to ~57%. There was no significant effect of WLOI on RP values (as per linear regression analysis) nor was there an effect of WLOI on TP values.

October, 2024 sediment samples: Sediment samples collected from the same locations as in April 2024 using the same methods exhibited a 90% plus <u>decline</u> in sediment P values in comparison (Table 2). RP values averaged 0.55 ± 0.40 ug RP/g sediment and TP values averaged $26.5 \pm 8.23 \mu$ g TP/g sediment. One-way ANOVA demonstrated that there were no significant differences among sites with respect to RP or TP values, notably no differences between sites that had been dredged (SQB 1-SQB3) and sites that had not been dredged (SQB4, SQBM and G-LP), indicating a lake-wide change in sediment P values during the interval. Similarly, there was no significant difference among sites with respect to WLOI values by one-way ANOVA.

Comparisons of sediment phosphorus values between April and October sampling events demonstrated significantly higher RP values in April samples (with one outlier in the April data set removed) by one-way ANOVA (F=14.0, df=1,33, p=0.0007). Similarly, comparisons of TP values between April and October (Table 2) by one-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant decline between April and October (p<0.0001). Comparisons of WLOI values by one-way ANOVA, however, demonstrated no significant difference between sampling times.

Station	TP-APR	TP-OCT	% reduction	
SQB1	348.7	24.4	93	
SQB2	591.4	34.1	94	
SQB3	307.5	29.3	90	
SQB4	738.0	28.9	96	
SQBM	490.3	21.6	96	
GLP	296.4	20.6	93	

Table 2. Total phosphorus (TP) concentrations in Squash Branch sediments between April (pre-dredging) and October 2024 (post dredging.

Potential Sediment Flushing by Major Rain Event

We considered the hypothesis that a heavy rain event or events in the interval between sampling times might have flushed the lake's sediments sufficiently to drive reduced sediment phosphorus values while not changing the lake's highly organic sediment feature. Sub-tropical system #8 impacted the southeast NC region Sept. 16-17, dropping as much as 20 inches of rain south of Greenfield Lake. The storm's impact

was very localized. Using figures for Greenfield Lake's watershed area (2,465 acres), percent impervious cover (37%) and a conservative rainfall value of 4.2 inches (from the airport north of Wilmington), calculations yield an estimate of approximately 400,000 m³ of runoff into the lake during those two days, assuming runoff derived only from the watershed impervious area. Based on the area of the lake (75 acres) and its average depth (2.2 m) the rainfall runoff into the lake would have displaced approximately 60% of the lake's total volume. Given the conservative values used in these calculations, it is likely that a large majority of the lake's water volume, including the easily disturbed near-bottom layers of soupy sediment, was flushed out to the Cape Fear River. Flushing may have contributed to the decline in sediment phosphorus values between April and October. (October sampling was conducted on Oct. 4, less than three weeks after the big rain event.) Regardless of the reason, dredging, or dredging plus rainfall-driven lake flushing, we were pleased to see the significantly lower sediment total phosphorus in October.

Geotube sampling: Reactive phosphorus (RP) concentrations in the first set of Geotube sediment samples averaged 4.1 \pm 6.6 µg RP/g sediment and varied significantly among Geotubes, reflecting heterogeneity within the dredged sediments (one-way ANOVA, F=5.03, df=6,24, p=0.0018; Tukey's HSD). Total phosphorus (TP) concentrations in the first set of Geotube sediment samples averaged 26.1 \pm 14.3 µg TP/g sediment and also varied significantly among Geotubes (one-way ANOVA, F=3.36, df=6,24, p=0.015; Tukey's HSD). RP concentrations in the second, deeper set of Geotube sediment cores averaged 8.31 \pm 9.24 µg RP/g sediment, similarly variable but not significantly different than the values in the first set of Geotube sediment cores. TP concentrations in the second, deeper set of Geotube sediment cores averaged 35.0 \pm 84.5 µg TP/g sediment, also quite variable but not significantly different than the sediment cores.

There was a strong negatively exponential relationship between RP and WLOI values in both sets of Geotube sediment samples, but a significant, positive relationship between TP and WLOI (Fig. 4), indicating stronger affinity of reactive phosphorus for sandy, less organic sediments, but higher TP concentrations in more highly organic sediments. These data also illustrate the considerable heterogeneity among individual sediment samples, which correspond to both horizontal and vertical heterogeneity in sediment composition within Squash Branch and, presumably, other portions of Greenfield Lake. Sandier sediments, with low WLOI values, also contain lower amounts of sediment P. Therefore, the greatest value of dredging would be obtained by targeting as much as possible the highly organic and P-enriched sediments, especially the surficial 'fluff' layer at the sediment-water interface



Figure 4. Bivariate log-transformed fit of µg TP/g sediment vs. WLOI in Geotube sediments (shallow (light gray symbols) and deeper (black symbols) core sample sets).

Transformed Fit Log: Log(ug TP/g sediment) = 2.78 + 0.02*WLOI, p < 0.0001

Calculated P Removal and Potential Impacts on Algal Growth

The Geotube TP data allow calculation of the mass of phosphorus removed by dredging and subsequent calculation of the potential impact/benefit thereof. The contractor estimates that approximately 900 m³ of sediment were removed from Squash Branch (Z. Roman, pers. comm.). Assuming a bulk density of 2.0 g/cc or 2 metric tons (mt)/m³ then approximately 1,800 mt of sediment were dredged from Squash Branch. If an average TP value of 31 µg/g sediment is used, then total P removal in that mass of sediment equals approximately 55 kg P. One way in which this value for P removal can be evaluated is to calculate the quantity of phytoplankton (algae) production that amount of P would support in the lake if that amount of P were dissolved into the whole lake's volume (~670,000 m³). Assuming that phosphorus loading supports carbon production according to Redfield's ratio of 106 mol C: 1 mol P and that the ratio of µg carbon to µg chlorophyll *a* in phytoplankton (algae) is approximately 50:1, then 55 kg of P added to Greenfield Lake would theoretically support production of approximately 67 µg chl *a*/L (given adequate N) in the entire volume of the lake, which is now unavailable to the lake's phytoplankton.

Calculated Carbon Removal

Similarly, the Geotube sediment data allowed calculation of the quantity of organic matter removed by dredging. Decomposition of organic matter supports oxygen depletion in the bottom layers of Greenfield Lake's water column (i.e. biochemical oxygen demand, BOD), on top of whatever BOD the annual algae blooms add to the deoxygenation problem. Average WLOI for Geotube sediments was 23%, so we calculate that ~415 metric tons of organic matter were removed by dredging. Using a ratio of WLOI:Carbon of 1.7, we can calculate that ~245 metric tons of C (carbon) were removed by dredging. Removal of 55 kg P and 245 mt C yields a molar ratio of approximately 11,500 C:P, clearly a highly P-depleted reservoir of organic matter.

2024 Sediment Analysis Conclusions

- Dredging can remove potentially significant amounts of organic matter and phosphorus, but targeting locations where and times when P is most heavily concentrated will have the highest cost:benefit ratio. In particular, removal of the 'fluffy', highly organic and relatively P-enriched material at the sediment-water interface will be most beneficial
- 2) Dewatering of dredged material onshore nearby may release dissolved (reactive) P and P associated with the finest sediment fraction, and may support aerobic decomposition and runoff of P-containing organic matter near the surface of geotubes particularly when rain soaks the Geotubes (Plate 1b). This suggests that prompt removal of dredged sediment from the watershed would be more protective of water quality; or at least, enhanced sediment fencing to control P-laden runoff.
- The extremely high average C:P ratio in dredged sediments supports the inferences above, but also indicates that P is likely very rapidly recycled in Greenfield Lake sediments, with algal bloom implications.

7.0 Hewletts Creek

Snapshot

Watershed area: 7,478 acres (3,028 ha) Impervious surface coverage: 25.1% (2013 data) Watershed population: Approximately 20,200 Overall water quality: Good-Fair Problematic pollutants: occasional high fecal bacteria, minor algal bloom issues

Hewletts Creek was sampled five times at three tidally influenced areas (NB-GLR, MB-PGR and SB-PGR) - Fig. 7.1). Based on these data, at all sites the physical data indicated that turbidity was well within State standards during this sampling period during all sampling events. TSS levels were below 25 mg/L at all times sampled (Table 7.2). Dissolved oxygen was within standard on all sampling occasions.

Nitrate concentrations were low in general but a bit higher at MB-PGR (Table 7.1) which receives inputs from the Wilmington Municipal Golf Course (Fig. 7.1; Mallin and Wheeler 2000). Ammonium concentrations were low to moderate at most sites. Total nitrogen was low to moderate at all sites. Orthophosphate concentrations were low, and total phosphorus concentrations ranged from low to moderate. Mean N/P ratios were somewhat elevated in most sites except, indicating that at times P can stimulate algal growth at most of these sites. Mallin et al (2004) demonstrated that P can occasionally be the limiting nutrient in upper tidal creek reaches, while N is typically limiting at lower creek sites. The chlorophyll *a* data (Tables 7.1) showed that no major blooms occurred during the sampling runs; NB-GLR had one minor bloom of 22 µg/L chlorophyll *a* in June. Fewer blooms have occurred in the past few years than had previously occurred in upper Hewletts Creek (Mallin et al. 1998; 2004; Duernberger 2009). We note that water quality in the south branch of Hewletts Creek improved significantly following construction of a large stormwater treatment wetland in 2007 (Mallin et al. 2012).

Fecal coliform bacteria counts did not exceeded the State standard at SB-PGR. However, the standard was exceeded three times at both NB-GLR and MB-PGR, where the geometric mean was 291 CFU/100 mL.



Figure 7.1. Hewletts Creek watershed.

Parameter	SB-PGR	MB-PGR	NB-GLR
Salinity	25.4 (8.1)	2.5 (5.1)	17.5 (11.9)
(ppt)	13.6-33.7	0.1-11.5	4.7-32.7
Turbidity	4 (2)	1 (1)	4 (2)
(NTU)	2-6	0-3	2-6
TSS	14 (5)	3 (3)	13 (13)
(mg/L)	10-23	1-8	7-21
DO	7.2 (1.9)	7.6 (0.7)	7.7 (1.6)
(mg/L)	5.2-9.5	6.7-8.3	5.8-9.4
Nitrate	0.03 (0.03)	0.24 (0.03)	0.06 (0.04)
(mg/L)	0.01-0.07	0.20-0.28	0.01-0.10
Ammonium	0.31 (0.22)	0.02 (0.01)	0.10 (0.11)
(mg/L)	0.01-0.61	0.01-0.04	0.01-0.25
TN	0.60 (0.21)	0.62 (0.15)	0.66 (0.40)
(mg/L)	0.41-0.96	0.39-0.73	0.31-1.35
Orthophosphate	0.02 (0.02)	0.02 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)
(mg/L)	0.01-0.05	0.02-0.04	0.01-0.03
TP	0.22 (0.32)	0.23 (0.21)	0.35 (0.41)
(mg/L)	0.01-0.78	0.01-0.47	0.02-0.83
Mean N/P ratio	43	23	21
Median	44	25	8
Chlor <i>a</i>	8 (6)	3 (3)	9 (8)
(μg/L)	2-14	1-7	2-22
Fecal coliforms	55	291	217
(CFU/100 mL)	3-150	127-1,320	41-637

Table 7.1. Selected water quality parameters at stations in Hewletts Creek watershed, 2024, as mean (standard deviation) / range, fecal coliforms as geometric mean / range, n = 5 samples collected.

8.0 Howe Creek Water Quality

Snapshot

Watershed area: 3,516 acres (1,424 ha) Impervious surface coverage: 21.4% Watershed population: Approximately 6,460 Overall water quality: Fair-Poor Problematic pollutants: Fecal coliform bacteria, algal blooms

Howe Creek drains a 3,516 acre watershed into the ICW (Fig. 8.1). Two to five stations have been sampled in this creek in various years. Due to resource re-allocation, sampling was suspended for the time being in 2020, but sampling will recommence in summer 2025.



Figure 8.1. Howe Creek watershed and sampling sites used in various years.

9.0 Motts Creek

Snapshot

Watershed area: 3,328 acres (1,354 ha) Impervious surface coverage: 23.4% Watershed population: 9,530 Overall water quality: poor Problematic pollutants: Periodic algal blooms; high fecal coliform bacteria

Motts Creek drains into the Cape Fear River Estuary (Fig. 9.1), and the creek area near River Road has been classified by the State of North Carolina as a Natural Heritage Site because of the area's biological attributes. These include the pure stand wetland communities, including a well-developed sawgrass community with large cypress in the swamp forest. City funding received by UNCW in late 2017 allowed us to re-initiate sampling of Motts Creek at River Road (MOT-RR) 2018-2019. Due to resource re-assignment, city sampling is currently suspended on this creek.



Figure 9.1 Motts Creeks watershed

10.0 Pages Creek

Snapshot

Watershed area: 5,025 acres (2,035 ha) Impervious surface coverage: 17.8% (2014 data) Watershed population: Approximately 8,390

The University of North Carolina Wilmington was not funded by the County since 2007 to sample Pages Creek. Subsequent County-sponsored sampling of this creek was performed by a consulting firm, with data and information for this creek available from the County.



Figure 10.1. Pages Creek watershed and sampling sites.

11.0 Smith Creek

Snapshot

Watershed area: 16,650 acres (6,743 ha) Impervious surface coverage: 21.3% (2014 data) Watershed population: 31,780 Overall water quality: Good to Fair Problematic pollutants: occasional turbidity, low dissolved oxygen and fecal coliform pollution, but all these parameters were rated Good in 2024.

Smith Creek drains into the lower Northeast Cape Fear River just before it joins with the mainstem Cape Fear River at Wilmington (Fig. 11.1). One location on Smith Creek, SC-CH at Castle Hayne Road (Fig. 11.1) is normally sampled monthly by UNCW under the auspices of the Lower Cape Fear River Program for selected parameters (field physical parameters, nutrients, chlorophyll and fecal coliform bacteria) and these data are normally summarized below (Table 11.1). However, note that in 2023 no samples were collected as bridge construction was ongoing all year at the sampling station. Sampling began again in 2024 and 12 monthly collections were made.

Salinity averaged 4.8, making this a generally mesohaline station. All turbidity samples were well below the brackish standard of 25 NTU. TSS was generally low, with one elevated incidence of 34.4 mg/L October. Ammonium and nitrate were low to moderate. Total phosphorus (TP) low to moderate except for two incidents, June and August, where TP was 0.61 and 0.80 mg/L, respectively (Table 1.1). All chlorophyll *a* samples were below the state standard, and all fecal coliform samples were below 150 CFU/100 mL, thus, this station on Smith Creek was rated Good by UNCW researchers for 2024.

Parameter	SC-CH	
	Mean (SD)	Range
Salinity (ppt)	4.8 (4.7)	0.0-10.6
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	6.6 (1.9)	3.7-9.3
Turbidity (NTU)	8.4 (2.5)	5-14
TSS (mg/L)	14.8 (7.0)	7.8-34.4
Ammonium (mg/L)	0.09 (0.08)	0.01-0.19
Nitrate (mg/l)	0.23 (0.15)	0.01-0.40
Total nitrogen (mg/L)	1.24 (0.29)	0.91-1.95
Total phosphorus (mg/L)	0.25 (0.24)	0.07-0.80
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (µg/L)	6.9 (9.5)	0.0-31.0
Fecal col. /100 mL (geomean / range)	33	1-145

Table 11.1. Selected water quality parameters in Smith Creek watershed as mean (standard deviation) / range, 2024, n = 12 samples collected.



Figure 11.1 Smith Creek watershed

12.0 Whiskey Creek

Snapshot

Watershed area: 2,078 acres (842 ha) Impervious surface coverage: 25.1% (2014) Watershed population: 7,980 Overall Water Quality: Good-Fair Problematic pollutants: Occasional high fecal coliform counts; occasional minor low dissolved oxygen issue

Whiskey Creek drains into the ICW. Sampling of this creek began in August 1999, at five stations. One station was dropped due to access issues in 2005; four stations were sampled until and including 2007; in 2008 this was reduced to one station, WC-MLR (from the bridge at Masonboro Loop Road – Fig. 12.1). Due to resource reassignment, sampling is currently suspended on this creek.



Figure 12.1. Whiskey Creek Watershed and sampling sites.

13.0 Report References Cited

- APHA. 1995. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- Burkholder, J.M. 2001. Eutrophication and Oligotrophication. *In* "Encyclopedia of Biodiversity", Vol. 2, pp 649-670. Academic Press.
- Duernberger, K.A. 2009. Tracing nitrogen through the food chain in an urbanized tidal creek. M.S. Thesis, University of North Carolina Wilmington, Center for Marine Science.
- Grogan, A.E., C. Alves de Souza, L.B. Cahoon and M.A. Mallin. 2023. Harmful algae blooms: a prolific issue in urban stormwater ponds. *Water* 15, 2436. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/w15132436</u>.
- Hecky, R.E. and P. Kilham. 1988. Nutrient limitation of phytoplankton in freshwater and marine environments: A review of recent evidence on the effects of enrichment. *Limnology and Oceanography* 33:796-822.
- Iraola, N.D., M.A. Mallin, L.B. Cahoon, D.W. Gamble and P.B. Zamora. 2022. Nutrient dynamics in a eutrophic urban blackwater lake. *Lake and Reservoir Management* 38:28-46.
- Mallin, M.A. and T.L. Wheeler. 2000. Nutrient and fecal coliform discharge from coastal North Carolina golf courses. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 29:979-986.
- Mallin, M.A. and L.B. Cahoon. 2020. The hidden impacts of phosphorus pollution to streams and rivers. *BioScience*. 70:315-329.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, J.J. Manock, J.F. Merritt, M.H. Posey, R.K. Sizemore, W.D. Webster and T.D. Alphin. 1998. A Four-Year Environmental Analysis of New Hanover County Tidal Creeks, 1993-1997. CMSR Report No. 98-01, Center for Marine Science Research, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., S.H. Ensign, D.C. Parsons and J.F. Merritt. 1999. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 1998-1999.* CMSR Report No. 99-02. Center for Marine Science Research, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., K.E. Williams, E.C. Esham and R.P. Lowe. 2000. Effect of human development on bacteriological water quality in coastal watersheds. *Ecological Applications* 10:1047-1056.
- Mallin, M.A., S.H. Ensign, T.L. Wheeler and D.B. Mayes. 2002. Pollutant removal efficacy of three wet detention ponds. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 31:654-660.

- Mallin, M.A., D.C. Parsons, V.L. Johnson, M.R. McIver and H.A. CoVan. 2004. Nutrient limitation and algal blooms in urbanizing tidal creeks. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 298:211-231.
- Mallin, M.A., V.L. Johnson, S.H. Ensign and T.A. MacPherson. 2006. Factors contributing to hypoxia in rivers, lakes and streams. *Limnology and Oceanography* 51:690-701.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, M.I.H. Spivey and B. Song. 2009a. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2008.* CMS Report 09-03, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., V.L. Johnson and S.H. Ensign. 2009b. Comparative impacts of stormwater runoff on water quality of an urban, a suburban, and a rural stream. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 159:475-491.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, M.I. Haltom, E.A. Steffy and B. Song. 2010. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2009. CMS Report 10-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., E.A. Steffy, M.R. McIver and M.I. Haltom. 2011. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2010.* CMS Report 11-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., J. McAuliffe, M.R. McIver, D. Mayes and M.R. Hanson. 2012. High pollutant removal efficacy of a large constructed wetland leads to receiving stream improvements. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 41:2046-2055.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, A.R. Robuck and J.D. Barker. 2015. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2014. UNCW-CMS Report 15-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, E.J. Wambach and A.R. Robuck. 2016. Algal blooms, circulators, waterfowl and eutrophic Greenfield Lake, N.C. *Lake and Reservoir Management*. 32:168-181.
- [NCDENR] North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources 2003. Amended effective 1 April 2003. "Redbook" - Surface waters and wetlands standards (NC Administrative Code 15A NCAC 02B .0100 & .0200). Raleigh, North Carolina.
- NCDENR. 2005. Cape Fear River Basinwide Water Quality Plan. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Quality, Raleigh, N.C.
- Parsons, TR, Maita Y, Lalli, CM. 1984 A Manual of Chemical and Biological Methods for Seawater Analysis. Pergamon Press, Oxford.

- Perrin, C., J. Wright, W.F. Hunt, P. Beggs, M.A. Mallin and M. Burchell. 2008. *Restoring the Burnt Mill Creek Watershed through Stormwater Management and Community Development*. FY04 EPA 319 Grant Final Report.
- Schlotzhauer, S.D. and R.C. Littell. 1997. SAS system for elementary statistical analysis, 2nd Edition. SAS Institute, Inc., SAS Campus Dr., Cary, N.C.
- Tavares, M.E., M.I.H. Spivey, M.R. McIver and M.A. Mallin. 2008. Testing for optical brighteners and fecal bacteria to detect sewage leaks in tidal creeks. *Journal of the North Carolina Academy of Science* 124:91-97.
- U.S. EPA. 1997. Methods for the Determination of Chemical Substances in Marine and Estuarine Environmental Matrices, 2nd Ed. EPA/600/R-97/072. National Exposure Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- Welschmeyer, N.A. 1994. Fluorometric analysis of chlorophyll *a* in the presence of chlorophyll *b* and phaeopigments. *Limnology and Oceanography* 39:1985-1993.
- Wetzel, R.G. 2001. Limnology: Lake and River Ecosystems, 3rd edition. 3rd ed. San Diego (CA): Academic Press.

14.0 Acknowledgments

Funding for this research was provided by the City of Wilmington and the North Carolina Attorney General's Office, Environmental Enhancement Grant Program, Grant UNCW021PRE (and Sarah Zambon, for grant facilitation). For project facilitation, helpful information and maps we thank Fred Royal, Dave Mayes, Zach Roman, Aaron Beckner and Saskia Cohick of Wilmington Stormwater Services, and Rob Clark of Cape Fear River Watch. For field and laboratory assistance we thank Dr. Amy Grogan, Colleen Brown, Kate Fuller, Alex Mravkov and Abby Tucker.

15.0 Appendix A. North Carolina Water Quality standards for selected parameters (NCDENR 2003; 2005). We note that these standards are general and differ with designated water body use. Details can be found at within the N.C. Division of Water quality website at: <u>http://h2o.enr.state.nc.us/csu/documents/ncactable290807.pdf</u>

Parameter	Standard
Dissolved oxygen	5.0 ppm (mg/L); for designated "swamp" waters it is 4.0 ppm
Turbidity	25 NTU (tidal saltwater) 50 NTU (freshwater streams), 25 NTU (lakes and reservoirs)
Fecal coliform counts	14 CFU/100 mL (shellfishing waters), and more than 10% of the samples cannot exceed 43 CFU/100 mL. 200 CFU/100 mL (human contact waters)
Chlorophyll a	40 ppb (μg/L)
CFU = colony-forming u	nits

mg/L = milligrams per liter = parts per million

 $\mu g/L$ = micrograms per liter = parts per billion

16.0 Appendix B. UNCW ratings of sampling stations in Wilmington watersheds based on 2024, where available, for chlorophyll *a*, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and fecal coliform bacteria (human contact standard) based in part on North Carolina state chemical standards for freshwater or tidal saltwater.

Watershed	Station	Chlor a	DO	Turbidity	Fecal coliforms
Bradley Creek	BC-RD BC-CA BC-MS BC-SB BC-NB	F F G G	F F G G G	G G G G G	P P P G
Barnards Creek	CHP-U CHP-D	G G	G G	G G	P P
Burnt Mill Creek	BMC-AP3 BMC-PP	F P	G F	G G	G P
Greenfield Lake	JRB-17 GL-JRB GL-SQB SQB-BR GL-2340 GL-YD GL-P	G G G P G P P	F P P G P G F	6 6 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	P P G P G G
Hewletts Creek	NB-GLR MB-PGR SB-PGR	G G G	G G G	G G G	P P G
Smith Creek	SC-CH	G	G	G	G

G (good quality) – state standard exceeded in \leq 10% of the measurements F (fair quality) – state standard exceeded in 11-25% of the measurements P (poor quality) – state standard exceeded in >25% of the measurements

Watershed	Station	GPS coordinates		
Barnard's Creek	BNC-RR CHP-U CHP-D	N 34.15867 N 34.1682 N 34.1680	W 77.93784 W 77.9102 W 77.9102	
Bradley Creek	BC-RD BC-CA BC-CR BC-SB BC-SBU BC-NB BC-NBU BC-NBU BC-76	N 34.23249 N 34.23260 N 34.23070 N 34.21963 N 34.21724 N 34.22138 N 34.22138 N 34.23287 N 34.21484	W 77.87071 W 77.86659 W 77.85251 W 77.84593 W 77.85435 W 77.84424 W 77.84036 W 77.83368	
Burnt Mill Creek	BMC-KA1 BMC-AP1 BMC-AP2 BMC-AP3 BMC-WP BMC-PP BMC-ODC	N 34.22215 N 34.22279 N 34.22917 N 34.23016 N 34.22901 N 34.24083 N 34.24252 N 34.24719	W 77.88522 W 77.88592 W 77.89173 W 77.89805 W 77.90125 W 77.92415 W 77.92515 W 77.93304	
Futch Creek	FC-4 FC-6 FC-8 FC-13 FC-17 FOY	N 34.30150 N 34.30290 N 34.30450 N 34.30352 N 34.30374 N 34.30704	W 77.74660 W 77.75050 W 77.75414 W 77.75760 W 77.76370 W 77.75707	
Greenfield Lake	GL-SS1 GL-SS2 GL-LC JRB-17 GL-JRB GL-LB GL-2340 GL-YD GL-P	N 34.19963 N 34.20051 N 34.20752 N 34.21300 N 34.21266 N 34.21439 N 34.21439 N 34.20684 N 34.21370	W 77.92460 W 77.92947 W 77.92976 W 77.92480 W 77.93157 W 77.93559 W 77.93556 W 77.93193 W 77.94362	
Hewletts Creek	HC-M HC-2	N 34.18230 N 34.18723	W 77.83888 W 77.84307	

17.0 Appendix C. GPS coordinates for the Wilmington Watersheds Project sampling stations used during various years.

HC-3	N 34.19011	W 77.85062
HC-NWB	N 34.19512	W 77.86155
NB-GLR	N 34.19783	W 77.86317
MB-PGR	N 34.19800	W 77.87088
SB-PGR	N 34.19019	W 77.86474
PVGC-9	N 34.19161	W 77.89177
HW-M	N 34.24765	W 77.78718
HW-FP	N 34.25468	W 77.79510
HW-GC	N 34.25448	W 77.80512
HW-GP	N 34.25545	W 77.81530
HW-DT	N 34.25562	W 77.81952
MOT-RR	N 34.12924	W 77.91611
PC-M	N 34.27020	W 77.77123
PC-OL	N 34.27450	W 77.77567
PC-CON	N 34.27743	W 77.77763
PC-OP	N 34.28292	W 77.78032
PC-LD	N 34.28090	W 77.78485
PC-BDDS	N 34.28143	W 77.79447
PC-WB	N 34.27635	W 77.79582
PC-BDUS	N 34.27702	W 77.80163
PC-H	N 34.277440	W 77.79890
SC-23	N 34.25794	W 77.91956
SC-CH	N 34.25897	W 77.93872
SC-KAN	N 34.26249	W 77.88759
SC-KAS	N 34.25964	W 77.88778
WC-NB	N 34.16803	W 77.87648
WC-SB	N 34.15939	W 77.87481
WC-MLR	N 34.16015	W 77.86629
WC-AB	N 34.15967	W 77.86177
WC-MB	N 34.15748	W 77.85640
	HC-3 HC-NWB NB-GLR MB-PGR SB-PGR PVGC-9 HW-M HW-FP HW-GC HW-GP HW-DT MOT-RR PC-M PC-OL PC-OL PC-OL PC-OD PC-OD PC-OD PC-DD PC-BDDS PC-WB PC-BDUS PC-WB PC-BDUS PC-H SC-23 SC-CH SC-KAN SC-KAN SC-KAS WC-NB WC-NB WC-NB WC-AB WC-AB WC-MB	HC-3N 34.19011HC-NWBN 34.19512NB-GLRN 34.19783MB-PGRN 34.19019PVGC-9N 34.19019PVGC-9N 34.19161HW-MN 34.24765HW-FPN 34.25468HW-GCN 34.25448HW-GPN 34.25545HW-DTN 34.25562MOT-RRN 34.27020PC-OLN 34.27450PC-OLN 34.27450PC-OLN 34.27450PC-OPN 34.28090PC-BDDSN 34.28143PC-WBN 34.27635PC-BDUSN 34.27635PC-BDUSN 34.27440SC-23N 34.2594SC-CHN 34.25897SC-KANN 34.25964WC-NBN 34.16803WC-MLRN 34.15939WC-MLRN 34.15948

18.0 Appendix D. Sampling station sub-watershed drainage area and percent impervious surface coverage, 2015 (compiled by Anna Robuck).

Sampling Station	Catchment Polygon	Percent
Howlotte Crook	Area (acres)	Impervious
	1206 1	27 50/
	2044 5	27.3%
	2044.5	27.3%
	8/0.4	29.8%
	1480.2	27.4%
	3185.1	27.4%
HC-3	5117.5	26.6%
HC-2	5557.1	25.3%
HC-M	5642.2	25.0%
Barnards Creek		
BNC-EF	154.6	20.8%
BNC-TR	277.4	25.5%
BNC-AW	196.0	22.2%
BNC-CB	1077.8	31.6%
BNC-RR	3437.3	25.3%
Burnt Mill Creek		
BMC-KA1	191.4	63.3%
BMC-KA3	195.1	62.3%
BMC-AP1	995.1	46.2%
BMC-AP2	1036.4	44.9%
BMC-AP3	1537.2	42.3%
BMC-GS	256.9	47.8%
BMC-WP	2981.9	39.5%
BMC-PP	3030.8	39.3%
BMC-ODC	772.0	47.8%
Bradley Creek		
BC-SBU	439.5	28.0%
BC-NBU	683.6	33.5%
BC-RD	98.5	90.0%
BC-CA	372.1	82.0%
BC-CR	649.7	46.3%
BC-SB	1022.3	28.9%
BC-NB	2047.6	31.9%
BC-76	3589.0	29.8%
Whiskey Creek		
WC-NB	211.6	31.1%
WC-SB	734.7	25.2%

WC-MLR	1378.1	26.0%
WC-AB	1552.2	25.5%
WC-MB	1643.3	25.0%
Futch Creek		
FC-13	726.6	25.6%
FC-17	692.5	25.9%
FC-FOY	2261.0	6.6%
FC-8	1086.6	24.2%
FC-6	3447.4	12.0%
FC-4	3651.2	12.4%
Greenfield Lake		
GL-SS1	140.2	66.8%
GL-SS2	264.1	53.4%
GL-2340	422.2	73.6%
JRB-17	595.4	22.3%
GL-JRB	795.8	25.9%
GL-LC	94.2	63.6%
GL-YD	978.0	30.4%
GL-SQB	130.8	49.2%
GL-P	2402.4	37.8%
Motts Creek		
MOT-RR	2350.1	27.7%
Howe Creek		
HW-DT	1255.2	29.4%
HW-GP	1794.3	25.5%
HW-GC	2368.2	25.0%
HW-FP	2737.1	23.8%
HW-M	3103.6	23.0%
Smith Creek		
SC-KAN	10605.4	19.5%
SC-KAS	2153.5	39.5%
SC-23	14803.3	22.6%
SC-CH	15837.8	22.5%
Pages Creek		
PC-BDUS	345.1	25.7%
PC-H	1019.7	22.8%
PC-WB	1444.6	22.9%
PC-BDDS	357.8	27.7%
PC-LD	2296.4	22.2%
PC-OP	1788.9	15.7%
PC-CON	1949.5	15.2%
PC-OL	4378.8	18.7%
PC-M	4615.9	18.3%

19.0 Appendix E. University of North Carolina at Wilmington reports and papers concerning water quality in Wilmington and New Hanover County's tidal creeks.

Reports

- Merritt, J.F., L.B. Cahoon, J.J. Manock, M.H. Posey, R.K. Sizemore, J. Willey and W.D. Webster. 1993. *Futch Creek Environmental Analysis Report*. Center for Marine Science Research, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, E.C. Esham, J.J. Manock, J.F. Merritt, M.H. Posey and R.K. Sizemore. 1994. Water Quality in New Hanover County Tidal Creeks, 1993-1994. Center for Marine Science Research, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C. 62 pp.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, J.J. Manock, J.F. Merritt, M.H. Posey, T.D. Alphin and R.K. Sizemore. 1995. Water Quality in New Hanover County Tidal Creeks, 1994-1995. Center for Marine Science Research, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C. 67 pp.
- Mallin. M.A., L.B. Cahoon, J.J. Manock, J.F. Merritt, M.H., Posey, R.K. Sizemore, T.D. Alphin, K.E. Williams and E.D. Hubertz. 1996. Water Quality in New Hanover County Tidal Creeks, 1995-1996. Center for Marine Science Research, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C. 67 pp.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, J.J. Manock, J.F. Merritt, M.H. Posey, R.K. Sizemore, W.D. Webster and T.D. Alphin. 1998. A Four-Year Environmental Analysis of New Hanover County Tidal Creeks, 1993-1997. CMSR Report No. 98-01, Center for Marine Science Research, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, J.J. Manock, J.F. Merritt, M.H. Posey, T.D. Alphin, D.C. Parsons and T.L. Wheeler. 1998. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 1997-1998.* CMSR Report 98-03. Center for Marine Science Research, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., S.H. Ensign, D.C. Parsons and J.F. Merritt. 1999. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 1998-1999. CMSR Report No. 99-02. Center for Marine Science Research, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, S.H. Ensign, D.C. Parsons, V.L. Johnson and J.F. Merritt. 2000. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 1999-2000. CMS Report No. 00-02. Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, M.H. Posey, L.A. Leonard, D.C. Parsons, V.L. Johnson, E.J. Wambach, T.D. Alphin, K.A. Nelson and J.F. Merritt. 2002. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2000-2001.* CMS Report 02-01,

Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.

- Mallin, M.A., H.A. CoVan and D.H. Wells. 2003. *Water Quality Analysis of the Mason inlet Relocation Project*. CMS Report 03-02. Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, M.H. Posey, D.C. Parsons, V.L. Johnson, T.D. Alphin and J.F. Merritt. 2003. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2001-2002.* CMS Report 03-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, M.H. Posey, V.L. Johnson, T.D. Alphin, D.C. Parsons and J.F. Merritt. 2004. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2002-2003.* CMS Report 04-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., H.A. Wells and M.R. McIver. 2004. *Baseline Report on Bald Head Creek Water Quality*. CMS Report No. 04-03, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., H.A. Wells, T.A. MacPherson, T.D. Alphin, M.H. Posey and R.T. Barbour. 2004. *Environmental Assessment of Surface Waters in the Town of Carolina Beach*. CMS Report No. 04-02, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, M.H. Posey, V.L. Johnson, D.C. Parsons, T.D. Alphin, B.R. Toothman and J.F. Merritt. 2005. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2003-2004.* CMS Report 05-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.

Mallin, M.A. 2006. Wading in waste. Scientific American 294:52-59.

- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, M.H. Posey, V.L. Johnson, D.C. Parsons, T.D. Alphin, B.R. Toothman, M.L. Ortwine and J.F. Merritt. 2006. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington* and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2004-2005. CMS Report 06-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., B.R. Toothman, M.R. McIver and M.S. Hayes. 2007. *Bald Head Creek Water Quality: Before and After Dredging*. Final Report to the Village of Bald Head Island. CMS report 07-02. Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, T.D. Alphin, M.H. Posey, B.A. Rosov, D.C. Parsons, R.M. Harrington and J.F. Merritt. 2007. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2005-2006.* CMS Report 07-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.

- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, M.I.H. Spivey, M.E. Tavares, T.D. Alphin and M.H. Posey. 2008. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2006-2007. CMS Report 08-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, M.I.H. Spivey and B. Song. 2009. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2008.* CMS Report 09-03, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.I.H. Spivey and B. Song. 2009. Sources of Fecal Bacterial Pollution to Upper Pages Creek, N.C. Report to Coastal Planning & Engineering of North Carolina, Inc. UNCW-CMS Report 09-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., J.A. McAuliffe, Y. Shirazi and M.R. McIver. 2010. Pollutant Removal Efficacy of a Constructed Wetland: The Clean Water Management Trust Fund 2004B-707 Wilmington Bethel Road Wetlands Project, UNCW CMS Report 10-03, University of North Carolina Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, M.I. Haltom, E.A. Steffy and B. Song. 2010. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2009. CMS Report 10-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., E.A. Steffy, M.R. McIver and M.I. Haltom. 2011. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2010.* CMS Report 11-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., E.A. Steffy, M.R. McIver and E. Clay. 2012. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2011. UNCW-CMS Report 12-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., L.E. Bohrer, M.R. McIver and S. Protopappas. 2013. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2012.* UNCW-CMS Report 13-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.G. Lemon and M.R. McIver. 2014. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2013.* UNCW-CMS Report 14-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, A.R. Robuck and J.D. Barker. 2015. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2014. UNCW-CMS Report 15-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.

- Mallin, M.A. and M.R. McIver. 2016. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2015.* UNCW-CMS Report 16-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver and N. Iraola. 2017. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2016.* UNCW-CMS Report 17-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver and N. Iraola. 2018. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2017. UNCW-CMS Report 18-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, N. Iraola, L.B. Cahoon and A.E. Grogan. 2019. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2018. UNCW-CMS Report 18-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, N.D. Iraola and A.E. Grogan. 2020. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2019. UNCW-CMS Report 20-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, A.E. Grogan and L.B. Cahoon. 2021. *Environmental Quality* of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2020. UNCW-CMS Report 21-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, A.E. Grogan, Nicholas D. Picha and L.B. Cahoon. 2022. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2021. UNCW-CMS Report 22-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver and Nicholas D. Picha. 2023. *Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2022.* UNCW-CMS Report 23-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A., and L.B. Cahoon. 2024. *Investigating Publicly Owned Stormwater Control Systems as Reservoirs for Toxic Algae and Chemicals*. Final Report: 2022 – 2024 for North Carolina Environmental Enhancement Grant UNCW021PRE.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, L.B. Cahoon and Nicholas D. Picha. 2024. Environmental Quality of Wilmington and New Hanover County Watersheds, 2023. UNCW-CMS Report 24-01, Center for Marine Science, University of North Carolina Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Mallin, M.A. and L.B. Cahoon. 2025. Sampling at Squash Branch to Assess Phase 1 Dredging Impacts: Final Report to City of Wilmington, January 24, 2025

Peer-Reviewed Journal Papers

- Mallin, M.A., E.C. Esham, K.E. Williams and J.E. Nearhoof. 1999. Tidal stage variability of fecal coliform and chlorophyll *a* concentrations in coastal creeks. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 38:414-422.
- Mallin, M.A. and T.L. Wheeler. 2000. Nutrient and fecal coliform discharge from coastal North Carolina golf courses. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 29:979-986.
- Mallin, M.A., K.E. Williams, E.C. Esham and R.P. Lowe. 2000. Effect of human development on bacteriological water quality in coastal watersheds. *Ecological Applications* 10:1047-1056.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, R.P. Lowe, J.F. Merritt, R.K. Sizemore and K.E. Williams. 2000. Restoration of shellfishing waters in a tidal creek following limited dredging. *Journal of Coastal Research* 16:40-47.
- Mallin, M.A., J.M. Burkholder, L.B. Cahoon and M.H. Posey. 2000. The North and South Carolina coasts. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 41:56-75.
- Mallin, M.A., S.H. Ensign, M.R. McIver, G.C. Shank and P.K. Fowler. 2001. Demographic, landscape, and meteorological factors controlling the microbial pollution of coastal waters. *Hydrobiologia* 460:185-193.
- Mallin, M.A., S.H. Ensign, T.L. Wheeler and D.B. Mayes. 2002. Pollutant removal efficacy of three wet detention ponds. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 31:654-660.
- Posey, M.H., T.D. Alphin, L.B. Cahoon, D.G. Lindquist, M.A. Mallin and M.E. Nevers. 2002, Resource availability versus predator control: questions of scale in benthic infaunal communities. *Estuaries* 25:999-1014.
- Cressman, K.A., M.H. Posey, M.A. Mallin, L.A. Leonard and T.D. Alphin. 2003. Effects of oyster reefs on water quality in a tidal creek estuary. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 22:753-762.
- Mallin, M.A. and A.J. Lewitus. 2004. The importance of tidal creek ecosystems. *Journal* of *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 298:145-149.
- Mallin, M.A., D.C. Parsons, V.L. Johnson, M.R. McIver and H.A. CoVan. 2004. Nutrient limitation and algal blooms in urbanizing tidal creeks. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 298:211-231.
- Nelson, K.A., L.A. Leonard, M.H. Posey, T.D. Alphin and M.A. Mallin. 2004. Transplanted oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) beds as self-sustaining mechanisms for water quality improvement in small tidal creeks. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 298:347-368.

- Mallin, M.A., S.H. Ensign, D.C. Parsons, V.L. Johnson, J.M. Burkholder and P.A. Rublee. 2005. Relationship of *Pfiesteria* spp. and *Pfiesteria*-like organisms to environmental factors in tidal creeks draining urban watersheds. pp 68-70 <u>in</u> Steidinger, K.A., J.H. Landsberg, C.R. Tomas and G.A. Vargo, (Eds.) *XHAB*, *Proceedings of the Tenth Conference on Harmful Algal Blooms, 2002*, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Florida Institute of Oceanography, and Intergovernmental Commission of UNESCO.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, B.R. Toothman, D.C. Parsons, M.R. McIver, M.L. Ortwine and R.N. Harrington. 2006. Impacts of a raw sewage spill on water and sediment quality in an urbanized estuary. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 54:81-88.
- Mallin, M.A., V.L. Johnson, S.H. Ensign and T.A. MacPherson. 2006. Factors contributing to hypoxia in rivers, lakes and streams. *Limnology and Oceanography* 51:690-701.
- Mallin, M.A., L.B. Cahoon, B.R. Toothman, D.C. Parsons, M.R. McIver, M.L. Ortwine and R.N. Harrington. 2007. Impacts of a raw sewage spill on water and sediment quality in an urbanized estuary. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 54:81-88.
- Cahoon, L.B., M.A. Mallin, B. Toothman, M. Ortwine, R. Harrington, R. Gerhart, S. Gill and J. Knowles. 2007. Is there a relationship between phosphorus and fecal microbes in aquatic sediments? Report No. 366, Water Resources Research Institute of the University of North Carolina.
- Dafner, E.V., M.A. Mallin, J.J. Souza, H.A. Wells and D.C. Parsons. 2007. Nitrogen and phosphorus species in the coastal and shelf waters of southeastern North Carolina, Mid-Atlantic U.S. coast. *Marine Chemistry*. 103:289-303.
- MacPherson, T.A., M.A. Mallin and L.B. Cahoon. 2007. Biochemical and sediment oxygen demand: patterns of oxygen depletion in tidal creeks. *Hydrobiologia* 586: 235-248.
- Tavares, M.E., M.I.H. Spivey, M.R. McIver and M.A. Mallin. 2008. Testing for optical brighteners and fecal bacteria to detect sewage leaks in tidal creeks. *Journal of the North Carolina Academy of Science* 124:91-97.
- Mallin, M.A., V.L. Johnson and S.H. Ensign. 2009. Comparative impacts of stormwater runoff on water quality of an urban, a suburban, and a rural stream. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 159:475-491.
- Toothman, B.R., L.B. Cahoon and M.A. Mallin. 2009. Phosphorus and carbohydrate limitation of fecal coliform and fecal enterococcus within tidal creek sediments. *Hydrobiologia* 636:401-412.
- Duernberger, K., C. Tobias and M.A. Mallin. 2012. Tracing nitrogen transformations through the food chain in an urbanized tidal creek. Report No. 405. Water Resources Research Institute of the University of North Carolina, Raleigh, N.C.

- Mallin, M.A., J. McAuliffe, M.R. McIver, D. Mayes and M.R. Hanson. 2012. High pollutant removal efficacy of a large constructed wetland leads to receiving stream improvements. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 41:2046-2055.
- Chudoba, E.A., M.A. Mallin, L.B. Cahoon and S.A. Skrabal. 2013. Stimulation of fecal bacteria in ambient waters by experimental inputs of organic and inorganic phosphorus. *Water Research* 47:3455-3466.
- Mallin, M.A., M.R. McIver, E.J. Wambach and A.R. Robuck. 2016. Algal blooms, circulators, waterfowl and eutrophic Greenfield Lake, N.C. *Lake and Reservoir Management*. 32:168-181.
- Burtchett, J.M., M.A. Mallin and L.B. Cahoon. 2017. Micro-zooplankton grazing as a means of fecal bacteria removal in stormwater BMPs. *Water Science and Technology*.75:2702-2715.
- Duernberger, K.A., C.R. Tobias and M.A. Mallin. 2018. Processing watershed-derived nitrogen in a southeastern USA tidal creek: An ecosystem-scale ¹⁵N tracer study. *Limnology and Oceanography* 63:2110-2125.
- Iraola, N.D., M.A. Mallin, L.B. Cahoon, D.W. Gamble and P.B. Zamora. 2022. Nutrient dynamics in a eutrophic urban blackwater lake. *Lake and Reservoir Management* 38:28-46.
- Grogan, A.E., C. Alves de Souza, L.B. Cahoon and M.A. Mallin. 2023. Harmful algae blooms: a prolific issue in urban stormwater ponds. *Water* 15, 2436. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/w15132436</u>.